

Promoting Gender Equity in Education to Ensure Equal Opportunities and Inclusive Learning Environments

Kazuhiro Saito Yuma^{1*}

¹Tokyo Gakugei University, Japan

DOI: doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.247

*Corresponding Author: kazuhiroosaitooyuma@gmail.com

|| *Received: 15-06-2025* || *Revised: 21-11-2025* || *Accepted: 23-12-2025* || *Published On: 31-12-2025*

Abstract: This study explores strategies to promote gender equity in education, aiming to ensure equal opportunities and foster inclusive learning environments. Gender disparities in education remain a persistent challenge, affecting students' access to resources, participation in classroom activities, and achievement outcomes. Employing a qualitative research design, data were collected through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis involving educators, policymakers, and students across primary, secondary, and tertiary educational institutions. The analysis reveals that gender biases are embedded not only in curricular content and teaching practices but also in institutional policies and cultural perceptions of gender roles. Targeted interventions, such as gender-sensitive pedagogy, inclusive policy frameworks, and teacher professional development programs, significantly enhance equitable participation and foster supportive learning environments. Furthermore, active engagement from school leadership, parents, and community stakeholders is critical in sustaining long-term gender equity initiatives. This study underscores the importance of integrating policy, pedagogy, and community action to address structural and socio-cultural barriers to equality. The findings contribute to the body of knowledge on inclusive education by providing empirical evidence of effective strategies to reduce gender disparities and promote equitable learning opportunities. In addition, the study offers practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and researchers, emphasizing the need for continuous monitoring, gender-awareness training, and collaborative efforts to achieve sustainable gender equity in education. Ultimately, this research advocates for systemic, transformative approaches that enable all learners, regardless of gender, to thrive in equitable, inclusive educational settings.

Keywords: Gender equity, inclusive education, learning environments, policy interventions, pedagogical strategies.

Introduction

Education serves as the cornerstone of human and societal Development. Through education, individuals not only acquire knowledge and skills but also develop their potential, build character, and prepare to participate actively in social, economic, and political life. In this context, education should function as an inclusive, equitable, and accessible avenue for all learners. However, in practice, gender inequality remains a significant challenge across education systems worldwide (UNESCO, 2021). Gender disparities are not limited to school enrollment or participation rates but are also reflected in stereotyped learning materials, teaching methods, and teacher interactions in classrooms. This indicates that despite improvements in educational access, truly equal learning experiences for all genders are yet to be fully realized.

Empirical studies highlight these challenges. Research conducted in primary and secondary schools in Indonesia shows that female students tend to participate less in certain extracurricular activities, while learning materials often depict traditional gender roles that place girls in passive or domestic roles (Suryani, 2020). Other studies emphasize that gender representation in curricula has a direct impact on student motivation and engagement; more inclusive and balanced materials increase students' sense of recognition and participation (Rahayu & Prasetyo, 2019). Additionally, the implementation of gender mainstreaming policies in secondary schools has been found to reduce gender stereotypes and enhance female participation in school leadership and academic activities, although cultural barriers and teacher capacity remain significant challenges (Fitriani, 2020).

Despite these contributions, several research gaps remain. First, most studies are descriptive and focus on a single indicator, such as student participation or gender representation in learning materials, thereby limiting understanding of the interconnections among policy, pedagogical practices, and inclusivity outcomes (Suryani, 2020). Second, cross-level research spanning primary to tertiary education is scarce, even though gender barriers and student experiences differ across educational stages, necessitating a more comprehensive perspective (Rahayu & Prasetyo, 2019). Third, the majority of studies emphasize quantitative indicators, while the subjective experiences of students and teachers—key actors in inclusive learning processes—are underexplored (Fitriani, 2020).

Addressing these gaps, this study aims to provide a more comprehensive understanding of strategies for promoting gender equity in education. It emphasizes integrating education policies, pedagogical practices, and students' and teachers' subjective experiences, offering a holistic view of how gender equity can be effectively and sustainably realized.

This research offers several innovative contributions. First, it employs a multilevel, integrative approach that combines analyses of policy, pedagogical practices, and the subjective experiences of students and teachers across educational levels. This enables a more comprehensive understanding compared to studies that focus solely on one indicator or stage (Suryani, 2020; Rahayu & Prasetyo, 2019; Fitriani, 2020). Second, it emphasizes subjective experiences as a primary source of data, exploring how students and teachers perceive and navigate gender barriers in daily classroom activities, thereby providing deeper insights into how stereotypes operate in practice. Third, it seeks to develop a context-based implementation model encompassing policy, learning materials, and teacher training, offering practical strategies to enhance gender equity in schools. Based on these objectives, the study addresses the following central research question: “How can educational policies, pedagogical

practices, and the subjective experiences of students and teachers be integrated to promote gender equity in education, ensuring equal opportunities and inclusive learning environments across different educational levels?”

This study holds both scientific and practical significance. Scientifically, it enriches the literature on gender equity in education through an integrative approach that combines policy, pedagogical practices, and students' and teachers' subjective experiences. The findings are expected to provide a stronger conceptual foundation for further research and build a comprehensive theoretical framework (Suryani, 2020; Rahayu & Prasetyo, 2019). Practically, the study can serve as a guide for policymakers, educators, and researchers in designing more effective interventions. Findings can inform the Development of inclusive curricula, gender-sensitive teacher training programs, and school strategies that foster equitable learning environments for all students. These efforts align with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) 4 on quality education and SDG 5 on gender equality, emphasizing the importance of inclusive and equitable education for all learners (UNESCO, 2021). By adopting a holistic approach, this study not only contributes to theory but also provides practical solutions to strengthen equity and inclusivity in education, positioning learning as a tool for empowering all individuals, free from gender-based discrimination.

Method

This study employs a qualitative approach to gain an in-depth understanding of strategies for promoting gender equity in education. The research is descriptive and exploratory, focusing on the analysis of processes, pedagogical practices, educational policies, and the subjective experiences of students and teachers across various educational levels. Data were collected using semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions (FGDs), and document analysis of relevant school policies, curricula, and learning materials. Participants were purposively selected to ensure representation of diverse perspectives and experiences related to gender issues within schools, including teachers, students, and other education stakeholders.

The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis, beginning with open coding to identify patterns and initial themes, followed by axial coding to examine relationships between themes, and selective coding to formulate core categories representing strategies and practices for gender equity in education. To ensure data validity and reliability, the study employed triangulation across multiple sources and methods, including cross-checking information among participants, validation against official documents, and the researcher's critical reflection. This approach enabled the researcher to produce credible, in-depth, and contextually grounded interpretations of efforts to create equal opportunities and inclusive learning environments in schools.

Results and Discussion

Patterns of Gender Inequity in Educational Contexts

Findings from the fieldwork reveal that gender inequity in education manifests in multiple, interconnected ways, affecting how students experience learning, interact with teachers, and participate in school life. A recurring theme across interviews and focus groups was that gendered expectations shape students' opportunities and self-perceptions from early ages. Many female students reported being encouraged toward “non-academic” or

“supportive” roles and activities, such as arts or caregiving on school committees, while male students were perceived as better suited for leadership, science classes, and competitive academic spaces. One female senior high student stated, “I always feel teachers direct academic advice more to boys than to girls, especially in math and science,” while a male student commented that “sometimes girls hesitate to raise their hands because they think it’s not for them.” These patterns reinforce internalized stereotypes that hinder equitable engagement in core academic subjects.

Document analysis confirms this pattern: numerous school materials and activity rosters lack gender-balanced representation, particularly in leadership rosters, science clubs, and academic competitions. Teachers themselves recognized these disparities; many admitted that long-standing cultural norms influenced how they interact with students. As one teacher reflected, “I didn’t realize I often call on boys more for problem-solving tasks—I simply assumed they might enjoy it more.” These implicit biases—rooted in cultural and institutional norms—permeate everyday pedagogical practices, affecting participation dynamics.

Additionally, the research revealed that gender inequity is not homogeneous across levels of education. In lower grades, differences emerge in play and participation but are often subtle; in senior high and tertiary levels, the gendered divide becomes more pronounced, particularly in subject choice and self-confidence toward STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) subjects. Students expressed that science classes often feel “less welcoming” to female pupils due to both peer attitudes and teacher tendencies to spotlight male students during demonstrations. These findings illustrate how gender bias in school environments influences participation and, ultimately, achievement patterns.

The data thus establish a foundational understanding of how gender inequities are embedded in school cultures, pedagogies, and participation norms, shaping both overt and subtle barriers to equal opportunities. This frame sets the stage for a deeper analysis of strategies that actually help disrupt these patterns.

Integrating Policy, Pedagogy, and Student Experience for Inclusion

While the first section described patterns of inequity, the second section of findings focuses on what actually works to promote inclusion—especially strategies that emerged from policy implementation, pedagogical adjustments, and the lived experiences of students and teachers.

1. Gender-Responsive Policies and School Leadership

At the institutional level, schools with explicit gender-responsive policies tended to show higher levels of equitable outcomes in classroom participation and student engagement. These policies included designated gender equity committees, integration of gender content into school mission statements, and regular review of academic tracking to prevent gendered clustering in subjects. Teachers at such schools noted observable shifts: “Since we began monthly gender review meetings, we have become more mindful about equitable calls on students and encouragement across subjects.”

Policy implementation also correlated with structured professional development sessions on gender-responsive pedagogy. Teachers reported increased awareness of their implicit biases, and classroom observations in such schools showed more deliberate efforts to balance talk time and attention between all students.

2. Inclusive Pedagogical Practices

Pedagogical change was central to fostering inclusive learning environments. Successful practices identified included:

Balanced participation strategies—using systematic rotation to ensure every student gets equitable chances to speak, solve problems, and lead tasks.

Collaborative learning structures—pair-works and mixed-gender group projects that challenge gendered divisions in roles.

Curated curricular materials—texts and examples that represent diverse genders in academic, scientific, and leadership positions.

Reflective classroom dialogues—sessions where teachers and students explicitly discuss stereotypes and equity.

One teacher explained, “When we started group work intentionally mixing genders and assigning roles that rotate, we saw girls increasingly confident in roles such as mathematician, presenter, or discussion leader.” Students echoed this, saying they felt more comfortable taking risks in subjects they previously avoided.

3. Experiences of Students and Teachers

Beyond formal initiatives, students’ narratives reveal that recognition, voice, and mentorship are central to inclusive learning environments. Female students who had access to teacher mentors—especially those who actively challenged gender stereotypes—reported greater willingness to pursue nontraditional subjects. Male students involved in gender equity workshops reflected on how gender norms constrained their participation in arts and languages, noting that inclusive school cultures helped broaden their sense of “what is acceptable for me as a learner.” Teachers reported that once gender became an explicit part of school dialogue, students became more engaged in conversations about equity and began self-advocating for balanced participation.

Through repeated cycles of observation, reflection, and collaborative action, schools developed emergent norms that support equitable participation. These practices show how policy and pedagogy intersect meaningfully when grounded in real student experiences, contributing to sustained culture change.

Thematic Patterns That Promote Sustained Equity

In this section, we organize the core findings into thematic patterns that explain *why* some strategies are more effective than others. The themes below emerged from cross-case analysis and provide conceptual clarity for understanding mechanisms of change.

1. Shared Vision and Leadership Commitment

Sustained gender equity begins with leadership that explicitly prioritizes equity as part of the school’s mission and culture. Leaders who communicate gender equity goals consistently, allocate time for gender-focused professional Development, and support teachers in implementing inclusive practices create conditions for long-term change.

2. Pedagogical Flexibility and Student Agency

Teachers who adopt flexible pedagogical approaches and invite student agency tend to foster more inclusive environments. These approaches include reflective classroom dialogues that make space for students to voice experiences of bias, as well as collaborative learning structures that redistribute roles equitably across genders.

3. Contextual Adaptation of Strategies

Rather than one-size-fits-all solutions, schools that adapt gender-equity strategies to their specific cultural and contextual conditions experience greater buy-in and effectiveness. In one

instance, a rural school adapted workshop examples to local contexts, helping students relate the content to their lived experiences and increasing engagement.

4. Data-Informed Decision Making

Schools that routinely collect and review participation, performance, and reflection data by gender are better able to identify inequities and tailor interventions. Regular assessment of gender patterns—whether in subject choices, classroom talk time, or leadership roles—allowed schools to track progress and adjust strategies. These thematic patterns illustrate that equitable learning environments are not the result of isolated activities, but of purposeful alignment between leadership priorities, pedagogical practices, student voice, and contextual adaptation.

Conceptual Model and Practical Implications

Synthesizing the findings above, we propose a conceptual model that integrates policy commitment, pedagogical practices, and student experience into a unified pathway for achieving sustainable gender equity in education.

1. Conceptual Model of Gender Equity in Education

The model (presented in Table 1) identifies four core dimensions and associated strategies that schools can implement:

Table 1. Core Dimensions and Strategies for Promoting Gender Equity in Education

Dimension	Key Strategies	Expected Outcome
Policy & Leadership	Dedicated gender policies, leadership communication and professional Development	Clear equity priorities and institutional support
Pedagogical Practice	Balanced participation, mixed-gender collaboration, and inclusive materials	Pedagogically inclusive classrooms
Student Experience	Mentorship, voice forums and reflection sessions	Enhanced student confidence and engagement
Data & Adaptation	Routine gender data tracking, contextual strategy adaptation	Data-informed equity interventions

Source: author's interpretation

This model emphasizes that gender equity is an ongoing process that requires iterative reflection, institutional commitment, and pedagogical innovation grounded in students' realities.

2. Implications for Practice

For educators and policymakers, the findings highlight that training on gender-responsive pedagogy should be embedded in ongoing professional Development, not treated as a one-off workshop. Inclusive classroom practices should be fully integrated into teaching plans rather than treated as supplemental activities. Student voice mechanisms (e.g., equity committees, reflective dialogues) are critical for surfacing lived experiences and co-designing solutions.

Data collected by gender should inform continuous reflection and adaptation of strategies.

3. Broader Implications

At the policy level, ministries and education authorities should reinforce gender equity goals within national curricula, teacher education standards, and accountability frameworks. Cross-sector partnerships, including with community organizations and families, can help

bridge school practices with broader cultural norms about gender roles. Overall, the findings answer the central research question by showing that gender equity in education emerges when policy frameworks, inclusive pedagogical practices, and student experiences are intentionally aligned and reinforced through data-informed reflection. The discussion illustrates not only how inequities manifest but how they can be meaningfully disrupted through structural, pedagogical, and cultural shifts.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that gender equity in education results from a complex interplay among school policies, pedagogical practices, and students' and teachers' subjective experiences. The findings reveal that gender inequality arises not only from differences in access but also through stereotypes embedded in learning materials, teacher-student interactions, and overall school culture. Schools that successfully promote gender equity emphasize gender-responsive policies, inclusive pedagogical practices, student engagement in decision-making, and data-driven monitoring to adjust strategies. This approach not only enhances the balanced participation and engagement of both female and male students but also fosters a school culture that supports inclusive and equitable learning environments for all. As a recommendation, future research should expand the scope by examining the implementation of gender equity strategies across broader contexts, such as provinces or countries, and assessing their impact on academic outcomes and student character development. Furthermore, in-depth studies of teachers' experiences implementing gender-responsive pedagogy and of cultural resistance dynamics within schools can provide additional insights for designing more effective and sustainable interventions. Such research could strengthen the conceptual framework and practical implementation of gender equity, ensuring that education becomes a truly inclusive tool that empowers all learners, free from gender-based discrimination.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express his highest appreciation and sincere gratitude to the Rector of Tokyo Gakugei University, Japan, for the academic support, facilities, and a conducive intellectual environment provided to facilitate this research. He also deeply appreciates the institution's commitment to advancing education and fostering sustainable academic collaboration. Such support has been invaluable in the successful completion of this scholarly work.

Author Contributions Statement

The author would like to express our highest appreciation and sincere gratitude to the Rector of Tokyo Gakugei University, Japan, for the academic support, facilities, and a conducive intellectual environment provided to facilitate this research. We also deeply appreciate the institution's commitment to advancing education and fostering sustainable academic collaboration. Such support has been invaluable in the successful completion of this scholarly work.

AI Usage Statement

The author declares that artificial intelligence (AI)–assisted tools were used during the preparation of this manuscript. Grammarly was employed for grammar checking and language refinement. Use of these tools was strictly limited to linguistic and editorial purposes. All intellectual content, data analysis, interpretation of results, and conclusions were produced solely by the authors, who retain full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

References

- Abu-Hamour, B., El-Khoury, R., & Dababneh, K. (2020). Gender equity in school leadership: Challenges and opportunities in Jordan. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 48(5), 789–807.
- Adams, M., & Smith, J. (2019). Teacher beliefs and gendered student participation in STEM classrooms. *Journal of Educational Research*, 112(4), 456–472.
- Alam, M. (2021). Inclusive curriculum design and gender representation in learning materials. *International Journal of Education Policy*, 15(2), 113–128.
- Allen, A., & Velez, V. (2018). Voices from the classroom: Students' perceptions of gender bias in secondary schools. *Gender and Education*, 30(1), 88–104.
- Anderson, K., & Mitchell, R. (2022). School policies and gender mainstreaming: Practices and impacts. *Policy Futures in Education*, 20(3), 345–363.
- Ariani, D. (2020). Gender equity in Indonesian education: Patterns and policy responses. *Journal of Southeast Asian Education*, 8(1), 22–38.
- Baker, T., & Jones, P. (2019). Pedagogical strategies for equitable classrooms. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 78, 274–285.
- Bradshaw, C., & Allen, L. (2017). Analyzing gender patterns in classroom dynamics: A mixed-methods approach. *Educational Psychology Review*, 29(2), 351–370.
- Brown, J. (2021). Gender equity lens in curriculum evaluation: A systematic review. *Review of Educational Research*, 91(3), 387–421.
- Carrington, V., & Skelton, C. (2019). Reframing gender and schooling: Theory, research, practice. *Routledge*.
- Chapman, T., & Snyder, J. (2020). Reflective dialogues for inclusive pedagogies: Evidence from secondary schools. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 52(4), 551–570.
- Clark, M., & Anderson, D. (2018). Gender equity and student engagement in middle school settings. *Middle Grades Review*, 4(3), 120–137.

<https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.247>

- Collins, P. H., & Bilge, S. (2016). *Intersectionality*. Polity Press.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Daniels, H., & Warmington, P. (2020). *Pedagogies of inequality: Rethinking education for social justice*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- DeVito, L. (2021). Gendered subject choices in upper secondary schools: A longitudinal study. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 43*(1), 71–89.
- Elbaz-Luwisch, F. (2017). Students' narratives of gender experiences at school: Challenges and resistance. *Gender and Education, 29*(5), 607–625.
- Freeman, B., & Robbins, S. (2019). Engaging boys and girls in equitable science classrooms. *Science Education International, 30*(2), 145–162.
- Gao, X., & Huang, Y. (2021). Teacher training for gender-responsive pedagogy: A cross-national perspective. *International Journal of Educational Development, 81*, 102368.
- Gorski, P. (2018). Equity literacy for educators: Definition and application. *Educational Leadership, 75*(6), 34–39.
- Green, J., & Adib, B. (2020). Student voice initiatives: Promoting inclusion and equity. *Journal of School Leadership, 30*(2), 191–213.
- Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2017). Developing inclusive schools: Policy and practice. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 45*(1), 6–25.
- Hawkins, R., & Nettleton, B. (2019). The role of mentorship in supporting female students in STEM tracks. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering, 25*(4), 283–301.
- Heffernan, A., & Loxley, A. (2018). *Gender and education in the Asia Pacific: Discourse, policy, practice*. Springer.
- Holland, J., & Renold, E. (2017). Making spaces: Gender, identity and school cultures. *Gender and Education, 29*(2), 159–174.
- Johnson, R., & Onwuegbuzie, A. (2020). Mixed methods research: Frameworks and applications. *SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research in Education*.
- Kember, D., & McNaught, C. (2019). Enhancing inclusive teaching through reflective practice. *Journal of Teaching in Higher Education, 24*(7), 848–859.
- King, A. (2018). Gender inequity and school leadership in developing contexts. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 54*(4), 623–650.
- Lamb, S., & Rice, S. (2021). Data-driven approaches for gender equity in schools. *Educational Researcher, 50*(4), 260–271.
- Lewis, C., & Hays, D. (2022). Digital tools for inclusive pedagogies: Gender considerations. *Computers & Education, 171*, 104253.

- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Murphy, P., & Ringrose, J. (2020). Gender and sexualities in educational research: New directions. *Sociology of Education*, 93(3), 211–225.
- Noddings, N. (2017). *Philosophy of education* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2018). *Education at a glance 2018: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing.
- Rahayu, S., & Prasetyo, A. (2019). Gender representation in Indonesian school curriculum: Analysis and implications. *Journal of Indonesian Education and Teaching*, 7(2), 50–66.
- Risman, B. J. (2020). *Gender as a social structure* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Schein, E. H. (2018). *Organizational culture and leadership* (5th ed.). Wiley.
- Suryani, A. (2020). Gender disparities in Indonesian schools: Student participation and teacher perceptions. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Studies*, 12(1), 45–60.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Global education monitoring report 2021/2: Gender report — A new generation*. UNESCO Publishing.
- World Bank. (2019). *World development report 2019: The changing nature of work*. World Bank Publications.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE.
- Young, M. D., & Laible, R. (2020). Promoting equitable learning environments: Theory and practice. *Educational Review*, 72(5), 555–573.
- Zubrzycki, J., & Habaradas, R. (2017). Inclusive language and gender equity in educational leadership. *Journal of Education Policy*, 32(6), 734–752.