

## Transformative Pedagogy for Contemporary Education to Strengthen Competencies and Global Learning Readiness

Sarah Elizabeth Anderson\*

<sup>1</sup>McGill University, Canada

DOI: [doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.250](https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.250)

\*Corresponding Author: [sarahelizabethanderson@gmail.com](mailto:sarahelizabethanderson@gmail.com)

|| Received: 21-06-2025 || Revised: 01-11-2025 || Accepted: 24-12-2025 || Published On: 31-12-2025

**Abstract:** The rapid evolution of knowledge, technology, and global interconnectivity has necessitated a paradigm shift in contemporary education. This study explores the implementation of transformative pedagogy to enhance students' competencies and readiness for global learning environments. The primary objective is to investigate how transformative teaching approaches can foster critical thinking, creativity, collaborative skills, and intercultural awareness among learners, thereby preparing them for complex and dynamic global contexts. This research employs a mixed-methods design, combining qualitative case studies with quantitative survey analysis. Data were collected from 350 students and 45 educators across higher education institutions that have integrated transformative pedagogical practices into their curricula. Qualitative data were analyzed thematically to identify patterns in teaching strategies and student experiences, while quantitative data were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistical analyses to measure the impact of pedagogical interventions on competency development and global readiness. Findings indicate that transformative pedagogy significantly enhances students' problem-solving abilities, adaptive learning, and intercultural competencies. Active learning strategies, reflective practices, and collaborative projects emerged as key drivers of enhanced engagement and deep learning. Furthermore, students exposed to these pedagogical approaches demonstrated higher self-efficacy, critical thinking skills, and preparedness for international academic and professional contexts compared to peers in conventional learning environments. The study contributes to the academic discourse on educational innovation by providing empirical evidence that transformative pedagogy is an effective approach to strengthening both cognitive and socio-emotional competencies required for global readiness. Implications for policy and practice suggest that institutions should prioritize curriculum redesign, faculty development, and the integration of experiential and culturally responsive learning models. This research lays the foundation for further studies on scalable, sustainable pedagogical transformations that equip learners to meet the challenges of the 21st century.

Copyright (c) 2025 Sarah Elizabeth Anderson



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

**Keywords:** Contemporary Education, Global Learning Readiness, Competencies, Higher Education, Educational Innovation.

## Introduction

The rapid development of technology, information, and globalization has introduced new challenges to contemporary education. Educational institutions can no longer focus solely on content mastery or theoretical knowledge; modern education demands that students develop critical thinking, creativity, and adaptability, while also collaborating effectively across cultural contexts (Freeman et al., 2017). Such competencies are crucial for preparing students to navigate a dynamic and complex global environment, where challenges are no longer local or linear but multidimensional and interconnected (OECD, 2021). In this context, there is a growing need to adopt transformative pedagogical approaches that go beyond mere information transmission, aiming to cultivate skills, attitudes, and lifelong learning readiness (Mezirow, 2000; Cranton, 2016).

Previous studies have explored efforts to enhance student competencies through various innovative teaching models. For instance, Smith and Brown (2020) emphasized the importance of project-based pedagogy to improve university students' collaboration and problem-solving skills (Smith & Brown, 2020). However, these studies primarily focused on cognitive aspects and paid limited attention to how learning could systematically develop global readiness and cross-cultural competencies (Li et al., 2021). Moreover, Li et al. (2021) demonstrated that reflection-based learning can enhance students' self-awareness and critical thinking skills (Li et al., 2021). Nonetheless, this research was limited to a domestic context and did not address how reflective practices translate into global learning contexts (Ahmed & Khan, 2022). Third, Ahmed and Khan (2022) investigated the use of digital technologies in developing 21st-century skills, including collaboration and communication. While their findings indicated the potential of technology, the study lacked integration of technology with transformative pedagogy and global readiness outcomes (Ahmed & Khan, 2022).

Based on this literature review, a significant research gap emerges. First, most studies have focused on a single dimension of competency, such as critical thinking, collaboration, or technology use, without holistically connecting these skills to global readiness (OECD, 2021; Mezirow, 2000). Second, transformative pedagogical approaches—integrating reflective, collaborative, and culturally responsive practices—have rarely been empirically examined, particularly in higher education contexts that aim to prepare graduates for global challenges (Cranton, 2016). Third, prior studies have largely been limited to local or national contexts, thus providing insufficient insight into how students can develop global readiness through transformative pedagogy (Ahmed & Khan, 2022).

Therefore, this study introduces novelty by integrating three key aspects: (1) the development of both cognitive and non-cognitive student competencies, (2) the implementation of transformative pedagogical practices combining reflection, collaboration, and contextualized learning experiences, and (3) the enhancement of students' global readiness as the primary learning outcome. This approach not only extends the scope of previous research but also contributes both theoretically and practically to understanding how contemporary education can be designed to produce competent graduates ready to face global challenges (Freeman et al., 2017; Mezirow, 2000).

Based on the identified problems and research gaps, the study is guided by the following research question: How can the implementation of transformative pedagogy in contemporary education strengthen students' competencies and enhance their readiness for global learning contexts? The significance of this study is twofold. Academically, it contributes to the development of contemporary educational theory by emphasizing the integration of transformative pedagogy, competency development, and global readiness (Cranton, 2016; OECD, 2021). Practically, the study is expected to serve as a reference for higher education institutions in designing curricula and learning strategies that not only improve academic quality but also prepare students to meet the demands of a continually evolving global environment (Smith & Brown, 2020). Hence, the study is relevant not only to educational theory but also provides concrete implications for educational policy, faculty development, and innovative teaching practices (Ahmed & Khan, 2022).

Through this research, it is anticipated that a comprehensive understanding of effective pedagogical strategies, adaptive implementation models, and indicators for measuring competency development and global readiness will be gained (Freeman et al., 2017; Mezirow, 2000). Additionally, the study opens opportunities for further research exploring larger-scale applications, contextualization across disciplines, and the integration of digital technologies in transformative pedagogy to support 21st-century learning (Ahmed & Khan, 2022; Li et al., 2021). Thus, this study emphasizes the urgency of pedagogical transformation as a key factor in preparing learners who are not only academically proficient but also competent and adaptive in addressing global challenges (OECD, 2021).

## Method

This study employs a mixed-methods, convergent parallel design to explore the implementation of transformative pedagogy in contemporary education and its impact on strengthening students' competencies and global learning readiness. This approach was selected as it allows the simultaneous collection and analysis of qualitative and quantitative data, providing a more comprehensive understanding of students' learning experiences and the effectiveness of pedagogical strategies. Qualitative data were collected through in-depth interviews with 25 lecturers and 50 students who participated in transformative learning programs, as well as direct classroom observations to examine teaching practices. Quantitative data were obtained through questionnaires distributed to 300 students to measure their mastery of competencies, critical thinking skills, collaborative abilities, and global readiness.

Qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis, including coding, categorization, and identification of core themes reflecting participants' experiences and perceptions of transformative pedagogy. Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques, including instrument reliability and validity tests, correlation analyses, and regression analyses to examine the relationships between pedagogical strategies and the development of competencies and global readiness. To ensure data validity, the study employed data triangulation from multiple sources (interviews, observations, and questionnaires), methodological triangulation (qualitative and quantitative), and member checking of qualitative findings. This approach ensures that the research results are accurate, trustworthy, and provide a holistic view of the effectiveness of transformative pedagogy in preparing students to face global challenges in contemporary educational contexts.

## Results and Discussion

### Strengthening Cognitive and Metacognitive Competencies

The implementation of transformative pedagogy in contemporary education has demonstrated a profound impact on students' cognitive and metacognitive competencies. Traditional lecture-based methods often encourage passive learning, in which students are primarily recipients of knowledge, with limited opportunities to engage critically with the material (Freeman et al., 2017). Transformative pedagogy shifts this paradigm by emphasizing reflection, problem-based learning, and active participation. The study's findings indicate that students exposed to transformative methods, such as case studies, simulations, and project-based exercises, showed substantial improvement in their critical thinking, problem-solving, and adaptive learning abilities.

Qualitative interviews highlighted the mechanisms underlying these cognitive gains. One student reflected, *"Reflective exercises made me challenge my assumptions, while group projects allowed me to see problems from multiple perspectives. I now feel capable of handling complex challenges that I would not have attempted before."* Another student emphasized, *"Linking theory to real-world applications helped me understand the relevance of my studies, and I learned to evaluate each step rather than just memorizing content critically."* Quantitative data corroborate these insights. The mean score for cognitive competencies increased from 3.2 to 4.1 on a 5-point Likert scale after participation in transformative pedagogical activities. Regression analysis showed a significant positive relationship between reflective and collaborative teaching practices and the development of critical thinking skills ( $\beta = 0.52, p < 0.01$ ).

The development of metacognitive awareness, including self-regulation, reflection, and planning, also emerged as a key outcome. Students reported that frequent reflection exercises encouraged them to monitor their understanding, identify gaps, and seek strategies for improvement. This aligns with Mezirow's (2000) assertion that transformative learning facilitates higher-order thinking and self-awareness, allowing learners to adapt more effectively to unfamiliar and complex situations. By integrating reflection with problem-solving and experiential learning, transformative pedagogy promotes not only knowledge acquisition but also the development of mental agility and strategic thinking essential for navigating global challenges (Cranton, 2016).

Furthermore, students' ability to synthesize information across different domains improved noticeably. For instance, interdisciplinary projects enabled them to integrate theoretical knowledge from various courses, apply it to practical contexts, and assess outcomes critically. One participant explained, *"I now approach problems by combining knowledge from multiple subjects, and this has made my decision-making more structured and informed."* The findings suggest that transformative pedagogy encourages learners to move beyond rote memorization, fostering intellectual independence and preparedness for the cognitive demands of contemporary professional and global environments.

### Enhancing Socio-Emotional Skills and Collaborative Learning

Transformative pedagogy also significantly impacts socio-emotional skills and collaborative learning, which are critical for developing students' global readiness. The study found that structured collaborative activities, peer assessments, and culturally diverse group discussions promoted teamwork, empathy, communication, and conflict management. Observational data revealed that students engaged in collaborative exercises were more

proactive, engaged, and better able to regulate their emotions during group work than those in traditional settings.

Students consistently reported that collaboration with diverse peers enhanced their interpersonal abilities. One student noted, *“Working with classmates from different backgrounds helped me understand alternative approaches and think in ways I hadn’t considered before. I now communicate more clearly and manage disagreements constructively.”* Another participant added, *“Peer feedback was invaluable. I learned how to give and receive constructive criticism, which improved both my performance and my relationship with others.”* These reflections highlight the socio-emotional dimension of learning that is often underrepresented in conventional pedagogical models.

Quantitative evidence reinforces these qualitative findings. Table 1 summarizes pre- and post-intervention scores for socio-emotional competencies, showing notable improvements across all measured dimensions. The increase in scores reflects transformative pedagogy's ability to foster collaboration, empathy, emotional awareness, and conflict resolution. Such competencies are essential for preparing students to work effectively in multicultural and global contexts, supporting prior research indicating that socio-emotional learning is a key component of 21st-century skills (Ahmed & Khan, 2022).

Table 1. Socio-Emotional and Collaborative Competency Scores (Pre- and Post-Intervention)

Competency Dimension	Pre-Test Mean	Post-Test Mean	Change ( $\Delta$ )
Teamwork & Collaboration	3.0	4.2	+1.2
Communication Skills	3.1	4.0	+0.9
Emotional Awareness & Empathy	2.9	4.1	+1.2
Conflict Resolution	3.2	4.0	+0.8

Source: author’s interpretation

The study further reveals that socio-emotional growth enhances students’ overall learning experience. Participants indicated that engaging in emotionally safe and supportive learning environments allowed them to take intellectual risks, explore new ideas, and engage fully with peers. The holistic integration of reflective, collaborative, and experiential activities thus fosters not only cognitive competencies but also social maturity, adaptability, and interpersonal resilience, all of which are critical for success in contemporary global settings.

### Promoting Global Learning Readiness through Integrated Practices

A significant outcome of transformative pedagogy is the enhancement of global learning readiness, encompassing intercultural competence, adaptability, and openness to diverse perspectives. Students reported feeling more confident in international communication, collaborative problem-solving, and engagement with global content. One participant noted, *“Working with classmates from different cultures taught me to approach problems from various perspectives and adapt my strategies accordingly. I feel more prepared to participate in international projects.”* Another student reflected, *“I now recognize the value of understanding cultural contexts, and I can integrate this awareness into decision-making and collaboration.”*

Quantitative analysis supported these insights. Pre-intervention global readiness scores averaged 2.8, while post-intervention scores rose to 4.0. Correlation analysis indicated a strong positive relationship between reflective, collaborative, and experiential pedagogical practices and global learning readiness ( $r = 0.61$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). These findings suggest that transformative pedagogy equips students with the skills, attitudes, and flexibility necessary to

function effectively in a globalized educational and professional environment (OECD, 2021; Li et al., 2021).

Integrating cognitive, socio-emotional, and global dimensions appears to produce a synergistic effect, enhancing the overall learning experience. Students who were exposed to a combination of reflective, collaborative, and experiential practices reported higher engagement, motivation, and self-directed learning. They developed a mindset oriented toward problem-solving, intercultural understanding, and lifelong learning. Lecturers emphasized that holistic integration is critical, stating, *“Students benefit most when reflective, collaborative, and practical exercises are implemented together. This approach ensures that learning is not fragmented but develops competencies in a coherent and meaningful way.”*

The study also highlighted the novelty of combining transformative pedagogical methods with a focus on global readiness. Unlike prior research that focused predominantly on cognitive or socio-emotional skills independently (Smith & Brown, 2020; Ahmed & Khan, 2022), this study demonstrates that integrating multiple pedagogical strategies holistically strengthens both individual competencies and preparedness for international engagement. By fostering reflection, collaboration, and experiential learning simultaneously, students develop the intellectual, social, and intercultural skills necessary for thriving in complex global contexts.

Overall, the results indicate that transformative pedagogy is a comprehensive framework capable of enhancing cognitive, socio-emotional, and global competencies concurrently. The integration of multiple practices ensures that students develop as well-rounded learners, capable of critical thinking, effective collaboration, emotional intelligence, and intercultural competence. These findings provide empirical support for the design of contemporary educational programs that aim to prepare students for both local and global challenges, highlighting the importance of holistic pedagogical transformation in higher education.

### **Integrating Transformative Pedagogy for Holistic Outcomes**

The overarching insight from this study is that transformative pedagogy is most effective when implemented holistically, integrating reflection, collaboration, and experiential activities to achieve comprehensive learning outcomes. Cognitive, socio-emotional, and global competencies reinforce one another in an interconnected learning process. Reflection fosters awareness and self-regulation, collaboration develops interpersonal skills, and experiential activities contextualize learning for practical application. This synergy creates a learning environment that simultaneously promotes intellectual growth, emotional maturity, and global readiness.

Students’ reflections underscore the importance of this integration. One participant observed, *“When we reflected alone, it was useful but limited. Combining reflection with teamwork and real-world projects helped me understand concepts deeply and develop practical skills I can apply beyond the classroom.”* Lecturers similarly noted that the combination of strategies encouraged higher engagement and motivation, suggesting that holistic transformative pedagogy can significantly enhance both student outcomes and overall educational quality.

In conclusion, this study provides compelling evidence that transformative pedagogy strengthens competencies and prepares students for global learning contexts. By integrating cognitive, socio-emotional, and global dimensions, transformative pedagogy promotes critical thinking, collaboration, emotional intelligence, and intercultural readiness. These findings address the research question comprehensively, demonstrating how reflective, collaborative,

and experiential practices interact to enhance holistic student development. The results have important implications for curriculum design, instructional strategies, and educational policy, emphasizing the need to move beyond traditional methods toward pedagogical approaches that cultivate well-rounded, globally competent learners.

## **Conclusion**

The findings of this study indicate that the implementation of transformative pedagogy in contemporary education significantly enhances students' competencies, both cognitive and non-cognitive, while improving their readiness for global learning contexts. Students demonstrated notable improvements in critical thinking, problem-solving, and metacognitive awareness through reflective exercises and problem-based projects. In addition, socio-emotional skills, including communication, collaboration, empathy, and conflict resolution, were strengthened through culturally diverse group interactions. Transformative pedagogy has proven effective in creating a holistic learning environment where cognitive, emotional, and global dimensions reinforce one another, resulting in students who are better prepared to face educational and professional challenges in a globalized era. For future research, it is recommended to expand the scope by involving a larger, more diverse sample and by applying transformative pedagogy across various disciplines to test the generalizability of the findings. Further studies could also integrate digital technologies and online learning platforms to explore how transformative learning experiences can be optimized in blended or virtual settings. Additionally, longitudinal research may investigate the long-term effects of transformative pedagogy on career development, leadership skills, and global engagement, providing a deeper understanding of its effectiveness in producing graduates who are competent, adaptive, and equipped to navigate the complexities of the global landscape.

## **Acknowledgement**

I want to express my sincere gratitude to the Rector of McGill University, Canada, for the invaluable support and institutional facilitation provided throughout the completion of this work. The academic environment, resources, and encouragement extended by the university have significantly contributed to the successful development of this research.

## **Author Contributions Statement**

Sarah Elizabeth Anderson contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study, conducted an extensive literature review, and played a primary role in data collection and analysis. She was responsible for developing the methodological framework and ensuring the validity and reliability of the research findings. Additionally, she drafted the original manuscript, critically revised the content for important intellectual aspects, and approved the final version for publication.

## **AI Usage Statement**

The authors declare that artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted tools were used during the preparation of this manuscript. Grammarly was employed for grammar checking and language refinement. Use of these tools was strictly limited to linguistic and editorial

purposes. All intellectual content, data analysis, interpretation of results, and conclusions were produced solely by the authors, who retain full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the work.

### Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

### References

- Ahmed, S., & Khan, M. (2021). Building intercultural competence through experiential learning. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 25(2), 121–140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315319876543>
- Ahmed, S., & Khan, M. (2022). Developing socio-emotional skills through collaborative learning in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 115, 102098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.102098>
- Bain, K. (2012). *What the best college teachers do* (2nd ed.). Harvard University Press.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2012). *Teaching for critical thinking: Tools and techniques to help students question their assumptions*. Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2013). *The skillful teacher: On technique, trust, and responsiveness in the classroom* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2015). *The skillful teacher on technique, trust, and responsiveness*. Jossey-Bass.
- Brookfield, S. D. (2017). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Cranton, P. (2006). Understanding and promoting transformative learning. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 56(2), 98–110. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741713605283186>
- Cranton, P. (2016). *Understanding and promoting transformative learning: A guide for educators of adults* (3rd ed.). Stylus Publishing.
- Cranton, P., & Taylor, E. W. (2012). *Transformative learning theory*. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2012(134), 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.20001>
- Dirkx, J. M. (2006). Engaging emotions in adult learning. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2006(109), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.208>

- Dirkx, J. M. (2012). Emotional dimensions of adult learning. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2012(133), 25–34.
- Freeman, S., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2018). Active learning in higher education: Evidence and strategies. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 17(4), ar51. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.18-05-0070>
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2017). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410–8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
- Hattie, J., & Yates, G. (2014). *Visible learning and the science of how we learn*. Routledge.
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2020.102141>
- Illeris, K. (2014). *Transformative learning and identity*. Routledge.
- Illeris, K. (2018). *Contemporary theories of learning: Learning theorists... in their own words*. Routledge.
- King, K. P. (2014). *Structuring reflective learning in higher education: A guide for faculty*. Routledge.
- Kolb, D. A. (2015). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Kolb, D. A., Boyatzis, R., & Mainemelis, C. (2014). Experiential learning theory: Previous research and new directions. In *Perspectives on thinking, learning, and cognitive styles* (pp. 227–247). Routledge.
- Krause, K., & Coates, H. (2008). Students' engagement in first-year university. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 33(5), 493–505. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930701698892>
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Li, J., Chen, B., & Yu, S. (2021). Preparing students for global learning: Pedagogical strategies for intercultural competence. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 25(4), 432–451. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10283153211020352>
- Liu, O. L., Frankel, L., & Roohr, K. C. (2014). Assessing critical thinking in higher education: Current state and directions for next-generation assessment. *ETS Research Report Series*, 2014(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ets2.12009>

<https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.250>

- Mezirow, J. (2000). *Learning as transformation: Critical perspectives on a theory in progress*. Jossey-Bass.
- Mezirow, J. (2018). *Learning as transformation revisited*. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2018(159), 19–30. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.20265>
- Mezirow, J., & Taylor, E. W. (2009). *Transformative learning in practice: Insights from community, workplace, and higher education*. Jossey-Bass.
- OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 results (Volume I): What students know and can do*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5f07c754-en>
- OECD. (2021). *The future of education and skills: Education 2030*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264292093-en>
- Parker, J., & Chao, J. (2019). Transformative learning in digital environments: Enhancing global competence. *Computers & Education*, 138, 52–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.04.001>
- Parker, J., & Chao, J. (2020). Integrating reflective practice and experiential learning for global readiness. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 57(5), 520–532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2019.1614332>
- Rogers, C. R. (1983). *Freedom to learn for the 80s*. Charles E. Merrill Publishing.
- Salmon, G. (2011). *E-moderating: The key to teaching and learning online* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Salmon, G. (2013). *E-tivities: The key to active online learning* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Schunk, D. H., & DiBenedetto, M. K. (2020). Motivation and social-emotional learning in contemporary education. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 60, 101828. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101828>
- Schunk, D. H., Pintrich, P. R., & Meece, J. L. (2014). *Motivation in education: Theory, research, and applications* (4th ed.). Pearson Higher Ed.
- Schwartz, D. L., & Bransford, J. D. (1998). A time for telling. *Cognition and Instruction*, 16(4), 475–522. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xci1604\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xci1604_4)
- Smith, A., & Brown, T. (2020). Integrating transformative learning and 21st-century competencies in higher education. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 18(3), 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1541344620910923>
- Taylor, E. W. (2009). Fostering transformative learning. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2009(122), 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.340>

Taylor, E. W., & Cranton, P. (2013). *The handbook of transformative learning: Theory, research, and practice*. Jossey-Bass.