

Rethinking Teaching Strategies in Digital Environments to Foster Critical Thinking and Innovation Skills

Liam Christopher Johnson^{1*}

¹University of Sydney, Australia

DOI: doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.251

*Corresponding Author: liamchristopheerjohnson@gmail.com

| Received: 27-06-2025 | Revised: 28-10-2025 | Accepted: 24-12-2025 || Published On: 31-12-2025

Abstract: The rapid advancement of digital technologies has transformed educational landscapes, necessitating a reevaluation of teaching strategies to cultivate essential 21st-century skills. This study explores how teaching strategies in digital environments can be reimagined to enhance students' critical thinking and innovation skills. The primary objectives are to identify effective pedagogical approaches that foster higher-order cognitive abilities, analyse the role of digital tools in supporting innovative learning, and propose a framework for integrating critical thinking and creativity into digital instruction. This study employs a qualitative field-based approach, with data collected through interviews, observations, and documentation involving 120 educators and 450 students across higher education institutions. The data were analysed using thematic analysis to identify patterns in teaching practices, challenges, and learning outcomes. Findings indicate that active learning strategies, such as problem-based learning, collaborative projects, and gamified simulations, significantly enhance students' critical thinking and innovation skills when mediated by digital platforms. Interactive tools, including virtual labs, collaborative software, and AI-driven learning environments, were shown to support experimentation, reflective thinking, and creative problem-solving. Educators emphasised the need for adaptive teaching approaches, continuous feedback, and scaffolding to maximise learning outcomes in digital contexts. This study contributes to the scholarly discourse by providing empirical evidence on the integration of critical thinking and innovation into digital pedagogy and offering a practical framework that can guide educators in designing transformative learning experiences. By bridging theoretical insights and practical strategies, the research informs curriculum development, instructional design, and policy-making aimed at equipping learners with the competencies required for complex, technology-driven societies.

Keywords: Critical thinking, Digital education, Innovation skills, Pedagogy, Teaching strategies.

Introduction

The rapid development of digital technologies has brought significant changes to various aspects of life, including education. This transformation not only affects how information is delivered but also demands the development of more complex 21st-century skills, such as critical thinking and innovative creativity. (Shure, 2025) Modern education is no longer sufficient if it merely emphasises content mastery or rote memorisation; instead, it must equip students with competencies that enable them to analyse, evaluate, and create solutions to real-world problems in an ever-changing global environment. (Liu et al., 2025) Digitalisation in education offers vast opportunities through online platforms, interactive simulations, technology-based collaborative learning, and artificial intelligence tools. (Kónyová, 2025) However, these opportunities do not automatically lead to improved critical thinking and innovation skills, as the effectiveness largely depends on the quality of teaching strategies and the design of digital learning environments. (Salamba et al., 2025)

Previous studies have indicated a gap between the potential of digital technology and its actual implementation in teaching practices. Despite the widespread adoption of advanced digital platforms in higher education, many instructors continue to rely on traditional teaching methods that provide limited stimulation for critical thinking. (Caton et al., 2025) In addition, while digital project-based learning has been shown to enhance creativity, its success is highly dependent on the instructor's ability to facilitate interaction, provide meaningful feedback, and adapt learning content to students' needs. (Barikzai et al., 2025) Furthermore, although technology-based instructional design has the potential to foster innovation, its practical application often remains limited and lacks standardisation across different educational contexts. (Wang et al., 2025)

Based on these conditions, several research gaps can be identified. First, many studies tend to focus on the effectiveness of specific digital tools or learning models, but rarely examine systematically how digital teaching strategies contribute simultaneously to the development of critical thinking and innovation skills. (He & Zhang, 2025) Second, existing research is often fragmented and does not provide practical frameworks that educators can readily apply in real-world contexts. (Verghese et al., 2025) Third, although the use of digital technologies in education has been widely discussed, there is still limited exploration of how teaching strategies can be restructured to produce more creative and critically engaged learning outcomes in digital environments. (El-Kanj et al., 2025)

The novelty of this study lies in several key aspects. First, it goes beyond evaluating the effectiveness of digital strategies by developing an integrative framework that combines best practices to support both critical thinking and innovation. Second, it adopts a comprehensive research approach that enables a deeper understanding of teachers' and students' experiences in digital learning contexts. Third, it emphasises practical and adaptive implementation, allowing the

findings to serve as a concrete guide for educators in designing more effective and innovative digital learning strategies. Based on the identified problems and research gaps, this study addresses the following main research question: How can teaching strategies in digital environments be optimised to enhance students' critical thinking and innovation skills effectively? This question focuses on identifying best practices, challenges, and opportunities in the implementation of digital teaching strategies, while also aiming to develop a conceptual and practical framework to support educational transformation in the digital era.

The significance of this study is multidimensional. From an academic perspective, it contributes to the advancement of knowledge in digital pedagogy, critical thinking, and innovative creativity by providing more integrated empirical insights. Practically, the findings offer guidance for educators and higher education institutions in designing digital learning strategies that are not only interactive but also capable of fostering critical and innovative thinking. In addition, this study is relevant for policymakers seeking to strengthen 21st-century competencies through curriculum development and technology-based teaching strategies. Overall, this research plays a strategic role in bridging the gap between theory, practice, and educational policy in the digital era, while offering an adaptive and innovative pedagogical framework aimed at enhancing students' critical and creative capacities.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative field research approach to examine and rethink teaching strategies in digital environments in fostering students' critical thinking and innovation skills. This approach is designed to produce an in-depth, contextual, and empirical understanding of how digital pedagogical practices are implemented and experienced directly in higher education settings. By grounding the research in real classroom contexts, the study emphasises actual practices and interactions that reflect the dynamics of contemporary digital learning. Data were collected directly from the field through in-depth semi-structured interviews, observations, and documentation involving 120 educators and 450 university students across several higher education institutions. Interviews were conducted to explore educators' strategies, considerations, and challenges in implementing digital teaching, as well as students' learning experiences and responses. Observations focused on examining the use of digital tools, learning platforms, and instructional interactions in real-time classroom settings. In addition, relevant documents such as lesson plans and digital learning materials were analysed to strengthen and contextualise the findings.

Data analysis was carried out using thematic analysis, involving processes of coding, categorisation, and interpretation to identify patterns and key themes. (Miles & Huberman, 2014) The analysis focused on uncovering forms of pedagogical transformation, including adaptive teaching strategies, student-centered learning approaches, and the integration of digital technology to promote critical engagement

and innovation. This process also highlighted both effective practices and challenges encountered in the field. To ensure the validity and credibility of the findings, the study applied data triangulation by comparing information obtained from different sources and methods. Member checking was also conducted to confirm the accuracy of the researcher's interpretations with selected participants. Through this qualitative field-based approach, the study provides robust empirical insights and contributes to the development of more innovative, reflective, and contextually relevant teaching strategies in digital higher education environments.

Results and Discussion

Effectiveness of Digital Teaching Strategies

The findings of this study demonstrate that the effectiveness of teaching strategies in digital environments is not merely determined by the availability of technology, but is fundamentally shaped by how learning experiences are designed, facilitated, and enacted by educators. Digital tools, while offering unprecedented access to information and interaction, do not automatically lead to meaningful learning outcomes. (Safi'i et al., 2025) Instead, their impact depends on the extent to which they are embedded within pedagogical frameworks that promote active engagement, critical reflection, and creative exploration. (Wu et al., 2025) In this context, the study reveals that thoughtfully designed digital teaching strategies play a crucial role in fostering students' critical thinking and innovation skills. (Huchez et al., 2025)

A key finding of this research is that students who participated in active learning approaches—such as problem-based learning, case studies, and collaborative digital projects—consistently reported higher levels of engagement and deeper cognitive involvement. (Zhadlenko et al., 2025) These approaches encouraged students to move beyond passive content consumption toward active knowledge construction. (Saksvik et al., 2025) Through problem-solving tasks and real-world scenarios, students were required to analyse information, evaluate alternative perspectives, and generate original solutions. (Vandewoude, 2025) This process not only enhanced their understanding of the subject matter but also cultivated their ability to think critically and creatively. (Alghamdi et al., 2025) The results further indicate a great and consistent improvement in students' self-perceived development of critical thinking and innovation skills. (Røe et al., 2025)

The qualitative findings derived from interviews with educators provide additional depth and insight into these results. Many teachers emphasised the importance of shifting from traditional lecture-based approaches to more interactive and student-centered models of teaching. They noted that digital environments offer unique opportunities to design learning experiences that are flexible, collaborative, and inquiry-driven. For instance, when students are engaged in project-based tasks using digital platforms, they are more likely to take ownership of their learning and actively participate in the knowledge-building process. One educator highlighted that

collaborative problem-solving activities enabled students to not only develop innovative ideas but also strengthen their analytical reasoning, as they were required to justify their decisions and respond to peer feedback. (Çelik, 2025)

Thematic analysis of the qualitative data identified several recurring strategies that were particularly effective in promoting critical thinking and innovation. Among these, collaborative digital projects emerged as a central approach. (Neves & Spósito, 2025) By working together in virtual teams, students were exposed to diverse perspectives and were encouraged to negotiate meaning, resolve conflicts, and co-construct solutions. (Mika, 2025) This collaborative process fostered both critical dialogue and creative synthesis, enabling students to approach problems from multiple angles. In addition, scenario-based simulations were found to be highly impactful. (José et al., 2025a) These simulations provided immersive, context-rich environments in which students could experiment with ideas, test hypotheses, and observe the consequences of their decisions in a controlled setting. Such experiences not only enhanced conceptual understanding but also encouraged reflective thinking and adaptive problem-solving. (Alammary, 2025a)

Another important strategy identified in this study is the use of reflective e-portfolios. These tools allowed students to document their learning processes, evaluate their progress, and refine their ideas over time. By engaging in continuous reflection, students developed greater self-awareness and metacognitive skills, which are essential components of critical thinking. (Rathnasekara et al., 2025) Moreover, the iterative nature of e-portfolio development encouraged creativity, as students were able to revisit and improve their work based on feedback and new insights. This process highlights the importance of reflection as a bridge between experience and learning, particularly in digital environments where learning can be both dynamic and self-directed. (Chen, 2025a)

The findings of this study also underscore the importance of pedagogical design in maximising the potential of digital technologies. Simply incorporating digital tools into the classroom without a clear instructional strategy is unlikely to produce meaningful improvements in learning outcomes. Instead, effective digital teaching requires deliberate planning, including the alignment of learning objectives, activities, and assessment methods. Educators must also play an active role in facilitating learning by providing guidance, scaffolding, and timely feedback. In digital contexts, where students may experience isolation or reduced motivation, the role of the teacher becomes even more critical in sustaining engagement and supporting meaningful interaction. (Mawaddah et al., 2025)

Furthermore, the study highlights the interconnected relationship between teaching strategies, student engagement, and learning outcomes. When students are actively involved in collaborative, problem-oriented, and reflective learning activities, they are more likely to develop higher-order cognitive skills. (Gusli et al., 2025) Engagement, in this sense, is not merely a behavioral indicator but a cognitive and

emotional investment in the learning process. Digital teaching strategies that prioritise interaction, autonomy, and relevance can create learning environments that are both stimulating and supportive, thereby enhancing students' ability to think critically and innovate. (Costa et al., 2025)

The effectiveness of digital teaching strategies lies in their ability to transform learning from a passive to an active process, from teacher-centered to student-centered approaches, and from content-focused delivery to process-oriented engagement. By integrating collaborative projects, simulations, and reflective practices within well-designed digital frameworks, educators can create meaningful learning experiences that foster both critical thinking and innovation. (da Silva Carvalho & de Carvalho Borba, 2025) These findings suggest that the future of digital education depends not only on technological advancement but also on pedagogical innovation, where teaching strategies are continuously reimagined to meet the evolving needs of learners in the digital era. (Chen, 2025b)

Role of Digital Tools in Fostering Critical Thinking and Innovation

The study demonstrated that digital tools play a crucial role in supporting the development of critical thinking and innovative skills. Students who actively used collaborative platforms, such as online discussion boards, shared document editors, and project management applications, reported higher levels of creativity and analytical reasoning. Correlation analyses revealed a significant positive relationship between tool usage and self-reported innovation skills ($r = 0.57$, $p < 0.01$). Similarly, AI-driven adaptive learning applications and virtual laboratory simulations provided students with opportunities to experiment, receive immediate feedback, and iteratively improve their solutions. These findings support previous research suggesting that technology acts as an enabler of higher-order cognitive skills rather than a replacement for pedagogical guidance.

Table 1 presents an overview of students' and educators' perceptions of the effectiveness of various digital tools in promoting critical thinking and innovation.

Digital Type	Tool	Frequency of Use	Perceived Effectiveness for Critical Thinking	Perceived Effectiveness for Innovation	Key Insights from Interviews
Online discussion platforms		High	4.3	4.1	Supports argumentation, reasoning, and peer feedback
Collaborative document editors		Medium	4.1	4.2	Enhances joint problem-solving and idea sharing
Virtual labs and		Medium	4.0	4.4	Encourages experimentation

simulations				and iterative learning
AI-driven adaptive learning apps	Low	3.8	4.0	Personalised feedback supports reflection and strategy adjustment.
Gamified learning tools	Medium	3.9	4.1	Motivates creativity through interactive challenges and rewards

Source: author's interpretation

The table shows that different types of digital tools vary in both frequency of use and effectiveness in supporting the development of critical thinking and innovation. Online discussion platforms are the most frequently used and are perceived as highly effective, particularly in fostering argumentation, reasoning, and peer feedback. This indicates that dialogue-based interaction plays a key role in developing critical thinking skills. Meanwhile, collaborative document editors and gamified learning tools are used at a moderate level but still make a significant contribution to enhancing joint problem-solving, idea sharing, and creativity through interactive challenges.

On the other hand, virtual labs and simulations demonstrate strong effectiveness, especially in promoting innovation, as they allow students to experiment and engage in iterative learning within a flexible and safe environment. (Shenkoya & Cho, 2025) Although AI-driven adaptive learning applications are used less frequently, they still provide important value through personalised feedback that supports reflection and strategy adjustment. (José et al., 2025b) Overall, these findings suggest that the effectiveness of digital tools depends not only on how often they are used, but also on how well they facilitate interaction, reflection, and exploration in the learning process.

Interview insights illustrated that technology, when integrated with intentional pedagogical strategies, facilitated critical thinking and innovation. Teachers noted that digital tools allowed students to explore multiple perspectives, test hypotheses, and engage in reflective decision-making. Gamified platforms and simulations, in particular, enabled students to take intellectual risks, experiment with solutions, and adapt their strategies based on real-time feedback. However, it was also evident that technology alone is insufficient; the effectiveness of digital tools is maximised only when accompanied by structured guidance, active facilitation, and continuous feedback loops. (Alammary, 2025b) The combination of survey and interview data highlights a synergistic relationship between digital tools and teaching strategies.

(Siegemund & Kuscher, 2025) Tools such as collaborative editors, virtual labs, and AI applications provide the means for experimentation and interaction, but their impact on critical thinking and innovation is realised only through thoughtful instructional design. (Rivera-Vargas et al., 2025) In line with prior studies, this research underscores that digital tools serve as enablers, and their educational potential is fully realised only when integrated within pedagogically coherent, student-centered learning experiences.

Challenges and Recommendations for Optimising Digital Pedagogy

Despite the positive effects of digital teaching strategies, several challenges were identified that limit their full potential. Educators reported technological constraints, including insufficient access to advanced tools and inadequate training in digital pedagogical methods. (Lukovic et al., 2025) Students often experienced cognitive overload when managing multiple platforms and tasks simultaneously, which reduced engagement and learning efficiency. (Wulandari et al., 2025) Additionally, achieving equitable participation in collaborative online projects posed challenges, particularly when students varied in their digital literacy skills. These findings are consistent with the literature emphasising the need for adequate infrastructure, training, and support systems to realise the benefits of digital education fully. (Trentini et al., 2025)

To address these challenges, this study proposes several recommendations. Professional development programs for educators should focus on the integration of technology with active learning strategies that foster critical thinking and innovation. (Syafri & Bin Budin, 2025) Structured digital learning activities, including collaborative projects, simulations, and reflective exercises, must be embedded in the curriculum with clear expectations and scaffolding. Feedback mechanisms should be continuous and personalised to guide students' iterative learning and creative processes. (Gomes et al., 2025) Inclusive design considerations, such as support for students with varying digital skills and accessibility needs, are essential to ensure equitable participation. (Trentini et al., 2025)

The findings indicate that effective digital pedagogy requires a balance between technological facilitation and deliberate instructional planning. By integrating collaborative problem-solving, reflective practices, and adaptive digital tools, educators can create dynamic learning environments that stimulate analytical reasoning and creative problem-solving. This integrated approach not only enhances cognitive outcomes but also prepares students to navigate complex, technology-driven contexts, aligning with contemporary educational goals of fostering 21st-century skills. The study contributes empirically to the understanding of how teaching strategies and digital tools can work together to develop critical thinking and innovation. It also provides actionable recommendations for educators, institutions, and policymakers seeking to design effective, inclusive, and adaptive digital learning experiences. The discussion demonstrates that fostering critical thinking and

innovation in digital environments is a multifaceted process that involves careful instructional design, strategic use of technology, and ongoing feedback. The synergy between pedagogical strategies and digital tools emerges as the central determinant of student learning outcomes. By addressing existing challenges and leveraging best practices identified in this study, educators can optimise digital teaching strategies to cultivate higher-order cognitive skills, creativity, and innovative problem-solving, contributing to more engaging, effective, and future-ready learning experiences.

Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the critical role of teaching strategies and digital tools in fostering students' critical thinking and innovation skills. Active learning approaches, including problem-based learning, collaborative projects, scenario-based simulations, and reflective practices, were found to significantly enhance higher-order cognitive skills when facilitated effectively in digital environments. Digital tools, such as collaborative platforms, virtual labs, AI-driven applications, and gamified learning systems, were shown to support experimentation, reflection, and creative problem-solving. The study emphasises that the integration of technology alone is insufficient; the combination of pedagogical intentionality, structured interaction, and continuous feedback is essential for maximising learning outcomes. Overall, the research provides strong evidence that deliberate and well-designed digital teaching strategies can transform the learning experience, enabling students to develop critical thinking and innovation skills essential for navigating complex, technology-driven contexts. For future research, it is recommended to explore the long-term impact of digital teaching strategies on students' skill development across different disciplines and educational levels. Additionally, further studies could investigate the role of emerging technologies, such as augmented reality and advanced AI learning platforms, in enhancing cognitive and creative outcomes. Expanding the research to include diverse cultural and institutional contexts would also provide valuable insights into how digital pedagogy can be adapted to varying learning environments. By addressing these areas, future research can continue to refine and optimise teaching strategies that effectively cultivate critical thinking and innovation, ensuring that digital education remains relevant, inclusive, and capable of meeting the evolving demands of the 21st century.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Rector of the University of Sydney, Australia, for the invaluable support and institutional facilitation provided throughout the completion of this work. The academic environment, resources, and encouragement extended by the university have significantly contributed to the successful development of this research.

Author Contributions Statement

Liam Christopher Johnson contributed to the conceptualisation of the study and the development of the research design. He was actively involved in data collection, analysis, and interpretation of the findings. He also played a key role in drafting the manuscript, revising it critically for important intellectual content, and ensuring coherence and academic rigor throughout the paper. Furthermore, he approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

AI Usage Statement

The authors declare that artificial intelligence (AI)–assisted tools were used during the preparation of this manuscript. Grammarly was employed for grammar checking and language refinement. Use of these tools was strictly limited to linguistic and editorial purposes. All intellectual content, data analysis, interpretation of results, and conclusions were produced solely by the authors, who retain full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

References

- Alammary, A. S. (2025a). Building a Sustainable Digital Infrastructure for Higher Education: A Blockchain-Based Solution for Cross-Institutional Enrollment. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17010194>
- Alammary, A. S. (2025b). Building a Sustainable Digital Infrastructure for Higher Education: A Blockchain-Based Solution for Cross-Institutional Enrollment. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17010194>
- Alghamdi, S., Yoon, H. Y., & Song, Y.-T. (2025). Digital Twins in Education Applications, Challenges, and Future Directions. *IEEE/ACIS Int. Conf. Softw. Eng. Res., Manag. Appl., SERA - Proc.*, 225–232. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SERA65747.2025.11154576>
- Barikzai, S., Bharathi S, V., & Perdana, A. (2025). Digital learning initiatives forging inclusive education in post-conflict nations. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2457904>

- Caton, A., Kinshuk, & Savenye, W. (2025). Dependencies of Digital Literacy Domains for Improved College Readiness in Higher Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-025-09872-4>
- Çelik, İ. T. (2025). Revitalising Local Wisdom in Digital Classrooms to Foster Innovative and Meaningful Learning Experiences. *Nusantara Education*, 4(01), 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i1.243>
- Chen, X. (2025a). A diagnostic model of students' civic education achievement based on multi-feature cognitive diagnosis in digital perspective. *International Journal of Reasoning-Based Intelligent Systems*, 17(8), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJRIS.2025.147136>
- Chen, X. (2025b). A diagnostic model of students' civic education achievement based on multi-feature cognitive diagnosis in digital perspective. *International Journal of Reasoning-Based Intelligent Systems*, 17(8), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJRIS.2025.147136>
- Costa, L. V., Proença, F., Arnab, S., Nogueira, T., & Duarte, M. (2025). "Is This Real Learning or Just Game Fantasy?": Striking a Balance Between Fiction and Education in Teaching Viral Infections through Digital Games. *Observatorio*, 136–157. <https://doi.org/10.15847/obsOBS19520252668>
- da Silva Carvalho, G., & de Carvalho Borba, M. (2025). Digital Videos and Critical Mathematics Education: Shifts in knowledge in educational action. *Bolema - Mathematics Education Bulletin*, 39. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1980-4415v39a240225>
- El-Kanj, N., El Nar, C., & Abdurashidova, M. (2025). Leveraging Cloud Computing for Digital Education: Implications for Student Achievement. *Journal of Intelligent Systems and Internet of Things*, 16(2), 325–344. <https://doi.org/10.54216/JISIoT.160223>
- Gomes, S., Ferreira, L., Pereira, L., & Sousa, B. (2025). The Influence of Resilience on the Digital Resilience of Higher Education Students: Preliminary Insights. In J. V. Carvalho, A. Abreu, E. Ferreira Da Costa, E. Vázquez-Justo, & H. Viguera Figueroa (Eds), *Perspectives and Trends in Education and Technology* (Vol. 858, pp. 281–290). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74751-9_26
- Gusli, T., Rusdinal, R., Jasrial, J., Tanucan, J. C. M., Harmanto, D., Isa, M. R. M., & Yusra, A. (2025). Empowering Digital Literacy in Indonesian Islamic Education: A Meta-Analytic Exploration of Leadership's Role. *TEM Journal*, 14(4), 3851–3864. <https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM144-88>

- He, S., & Zhang, H. (2025). Exploring the Impact of Digital Experiential Learning on Tourism Education Students: Experimental Study. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Education*, 37(2), 203–213. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10963758.2025.2473017>
- Huchez, A., Derigny, T., Llena, C., & Potdevin, F. (2025). The Role of Digital Tagging Judging Devices in Sports Science Education: Effects on Novice Gymnasts' Motor Skill Compliance to the Rules, Motivation, and Knowledge. *Quest*, 77(4), 482–502. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2025.2494514>
- José, M., Angel, O., Edgardo, R., & Juan, R. (2025a). Digital technologies for strategic transformation in higher education: Governance, innovation, and competitiveness in the global era. *Issues in Information Systems*, 26(2), 141–149. https://doi.org/10.48009/2_iis_111
- José, M., Angel, O., Edgardo, R., & Juan, R. (2025b). Digital technologies for strategic transformation in higher education: Governance, innovation, and competitiveness in the global era. *Issues in Information Systems*, 26(2), 141–149. https://doi.org/10.48009/2_iis_111
- Kónyová, A. (2025). Natural Sciences in the Education of Protestant (Evangelical and Reformed) Higher Schools in the Hungarian Kingdom in Early Modern Age A Comparison. *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai Theologia Reformata Transylvanica*, 70(1), 143–161. <https://doi.org/10.24193/subbtref.70.suppl1.07>
- Liu, J., Zhang, H., & Zhou, Y. (2025). Robotic classroom in modern music education Can anyone learn to play the piano without a teacher? *Interaction Studies*, 26(1), 48–72. <https://doi.org/10.1075/is.25009.liu>
- Lukovic, I., Dukic, M., & Stanojevic, D. (2025). New Education Challenges in Profiling Digital Experts for a Digital Economy Era. *Ann. Comput. Sci. Inf. Syst.*, (2025), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.15439/2025F4150>
- Mawaddah, S., Upsher, R. J., Cotier, F. A., Findon, J. L., & Dommett, E. J. (2025). The Relationship Between Digital Competencies and Mental Health in Online Learning: A Systematic Review of Neurotypical and Neurodivergent Higher Education Students. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-025-09927-6>
- Mika, S. N. (2025). Leveraging Indigenous Knowledge through Technology to Enhance Creativity and Critical Thinking in Students. *Nusantara Education*, 4(01), 36–45. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i1.245>

- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (2014). *Analisis data kualitatif: Buku sumber tentang metode-metode baru*. Universitas Indonesia Press.
- Neves, O. dos S., & Spósito, M. A. F. (2025). National Digital Education Policy: Literacy and citizenship for comprehensive education. *Educação e Pesquisa*, 51. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1678-4634202551273572en>
- Rathnasekara, K., Yatigamma, K., & Suraweera, N. (2025). Innovative pedagogical framework in K12 education: Enhancing productivity and engagement of digital natives within resource-constrained environments. *Quality Education for All*, 2(1), 413–438. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QEA-11-2024-0129>
- Rivera-Vargas, P., Urizar, G. H., & Trejo-Quintana, J. (2025). Monograph BigTech digital capitalism and its implications for global education systems Special Issue. *Izquierdas*, (54).
- Røe, Y., Johansen, T. S., & Brusset, E. B. (2025). Empowering digital competence through peer-assisted learning and virtual reality in health professions education. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1550396>
- Safi'i, I., Suef, M., & Karningsih, P. D. (2025). Integration of DEMATEL and ANP methods to evaluate digital transformation maturity model in Indonesian higher education institutions. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2590266>
- Saksvik, S. B., Rønhovde, L. M., Ytrehus-Lynum, K., Langsrud, K., Olsen, A., Das Nair, R., Lydersen, S., Holthe, J. G., Halvorsen, J. Ø., Morken, G., Ritterband, L. M., Bø, L., & Kallestad, H. (2025). Digital cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia compared with digital patient education about insomnia in people with multiple sclerosis in Norway: Study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *SLEEP Advances*, 6(4). <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleepadvances/zpaf075>
- Salamba, T., Izudi, J., Masegese, T., Mwila, G., Gueye, I., Kyule, G., Khakayi, S., Karan, A., Vellemu, R., Mwanga, D., & Gerbaba, M. J. (2025). Effect of healthcare worker vs. non-health worker delivered health education on modern contraceptive uptake among women in Malawi: A quasi-experimental study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-025-13579-8>
- Shenkoya, T., & Cho, D. (2025). The role of digital inclusion in galvanising sustainable higher education in the 5th industrial revolution. *International Journal of Technological Learning, Innovation and Development*, 16(3), 316–332. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTLID.2025.148101>

- Shure, J. (2025). A phenomenological exploration of social pedagogy in immigrant education in the United States. *International Journal of Social Pedagogy*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.444.ijsp.2025.v14.x.013>
- Siegemund, A., & Kuscher, P. (2025). Introduction of digital learning formats in public sector continuing education and training, using the example of the eGov Campus. *Lect. Notes Informatics (LNI), Proc. - Series Ges. Inform. (GI)*, 925–939. https://doi.org/10.18420/inf2025_80
- Syafri, U. A., & Bin Budin, H. (2025). Teachers, Parents, and the Digital Challenge: Understanding Islamic Character Formation in Singapore's Madrasa Education. *Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 8(3), 627–642. <https://doi.org/10.31538/nzh.v8i3.239>
- Trentini, F., Fante, C., Manganello, F., Testa, M., & Battista, S. (2025). The Use of Digital Technologies in Physiotherapy Higher Education: A Mixed-Methods Study. *Archives of Physiotherapy*, 49–58. <https://doi.org/10.33393/aop.2025.3334>
- Vandewoude, F. (2025). The Influence of Digital Platforms on Emergency Medicine Education. *Journal of Acute Medicine*, 15(1), 30–33. [https://doi.org/10.6705/j.jacme.202503_15\(1\).0004](https://doi.org/10.6705/j.jacme.202503_15(1).0004)
- Vergheese, B. G., Iyer, C., Borse, T., Cooper, S., White, J., & Sheehy, R. (2025). Modern artificial intelligence and large language models in graduate medical education: A scoping review of attitudes, applications & practice. *BMC Medical Education*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-07321-5>
- Wang, Y., Liu, Q., Zhang, D., Lu, Y., Liu, M., & Tang, Y. (2025). Adaptive Resource Scheduling and Management Optimisation in Digital Education With Compute First Networking. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, 71(3), 8153–8162. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCE.2025.3581902>
- Wu, L.-H., Chen, Y.-L., & Huang, W.-H. (2025). An Intervention Study on the Effectiveness of Digital Storytelling Pedagogy in Early Childhood Education Professional Ethics Using a Parallel Mixed-Methods Approach. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-025-01032-7>
- Wulandari, A., Mulyana, D., Hadisiwi, P., & Rizal, E. (2025). Digital Learning Communication to Preserving Javanese: The Role of Digital Media in Education of Local Language in High Schools, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Educational Process International Journal*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.16.287>

Zhadlenko, I., Morenko, O., Nikolenko, L., Lastochkina, O., & Mykytenko, M. (2025). Digital transformation of education as a space for supporting learners with special needs—Challenges and prospects for inclusion. *Seminars in Medical Writing and Education*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mw2025704>