

Facilitating Academic Mobility and Knowledge Exchange to Promote Continuous Learning and Collaboration

Anna Katarrzyna Nowaak^{1*}

¹University of Warsaw, Poland

DOI: doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v5i1.254

*Corresponding Author: annakatarrzynanowaak@gmail.com

| Received: 02-10-2025 | Revised: 09-02-2026 | Accepted: 22-03-2026 || Published On: 25-03-2026

Abstract: This study examines the role of academic mobility and knowledge exchange in fostering continuous learning and collaborative innovation within higher education institutions. The primary objective is to analyze how mobility programs—such as student exchanges, visiting scholars, and international research collaborations—contribute to the development of adaptive learning ecosystems and sustainable academic partnerships. Employing a qualitative field research design, this study draws on primary data collected through interviews, observations, and document analysis, supported by relevant peer-reviewed articles, institutional reports, and policy documents published. The analysis is guided by thematic coding to identify key patterns related to knowledge transfer, institutional collaboration, and capacity building. The findings reveal that academic mobility significantly enhances cross-cultural competencies, interdisciplinary knowledge integration, and research productivity. It facilitates the circulation of ideas and best practices, thereby strengthening institutional resilience and global competitiveness. Moreover, structured knowledge exchange mechanisms—such as joint research projects, academic networks, and digital collaboration platforms—play a crucial role in sustaining long-term partnerships beyond physical mobility. However, challenges such as unequal access to mobility opportunities, funding limitations, and administrative barriers remain critical constraints that hinder optimal outcomes. This study contributes to the existing body of knowledge by offering a comprehensive and integrative framework that connects academic mobility with continuous learning and collaborative governance in higher education. It highlights the need for more inclusive and policy-driven strategies to ensure equitable participation and maximize the transformative potential of global academic engagement. The implications of this research are particularly relevant for policymakers, university leaders, and scholars seeking to design more effective internationalization strategies that align with the demands of an increasingly interconnected academic landscape.

Keywords: Academic collaboration; Academic mobility; Continuous learning; Knowledge exchange; Learning ecosystems.

Introduction

The rapid transformation of higher education in the twenty-first century has been marked by an increasing emphasis on global interconnectedness, interdisciplinary collaboration, and lifelong learning (Appari et al., 2026). In this context, academic mobility and knowledge exchange have emerged as strategic instruments to enhance the quality, relevance, and competitiveness of higher education institutions (Pakala & Guniganti, 2026). Academic mobility—encompassing student exchanges, faculty mobility, and international research collaboration—enables the cross-border circulation of ideas, skills, and cultural perspectives (Farouk, 2025). At the same time, knowledge exchange facilitates the transfer, co-creation, and dissemination of intellectual resources across institutional and national boundaries (Gabriel & Grünke, 2026). Together, these processes are expected to foster continuous learning environments that are adaptive, inclusive, and innovation-driven (Yang et al., 2026). However, despite their growing importance, integrating academic mobility and knowledge exchange into a coherent framework for promoting sustained collaboration and learning remains an underexplored area in contemporary scholarship (Soni, 2026).

One of the central problems this study addresses is the fragmentation between mobility initiatives and knowledge exchange practices within higher education systems (Gottlieb et al., 2026). While many universities actively promote international mobility programs, these initiatives are often implemented in isolation from structured mechanisms of knowledge sharing and institutional collaboration (Milani et al., 2026). As a result, the potential benefits of mobility—such as enhanced research capacity, cross-cultural understanding, and innovation—are not fully realized or sustained over time (Jabeen & Lux, 2026). Furthermore, disparities in access to mobility opportunities, particularly between institutions in developed and developing regions, create asymmetrical patterns of knowledge production and circulation. This imbalance not only limits the inclusivity of global academic engagement but also undermines the broader goal of equitable knowledge societies. (Kulesa et al., 2026)

Previous studies have examined various dimensions of academic mobility and knowledge exchange, yet significant research gaps persist. First, a study by Schäfer, 2026 highlights the impact of international student mobility on individual competencies and employability outcomes. (Schäfer, 2026) While this research provides valuable insights into the personal benefits of mobility, it

tends to focus on micro-level effects and does not adequately address how these experiences translate into institutional learning or long-term collaboration. Second, De Wit (2019) research explores the concept of internationalization in higher education, emphasizing the roles of cross-border partnerships and institutional strategies. (de Wit, 2019) However, this study largely conceptualizes mobility as a component of internationalization without deeply examining the mechanisms through which knowledge is exchanged and sustained across these partnerships. Third, a study by Rottleb (2023) analyzes the global knowledge network and the role of higher education in knowledge production. (Rottleb, 2023) Although this work provides a macro-level perspective on global academic systems, it does not sufficiently integrate the operational dynamics of mobility programs with practical knowledge exchange processes at the institutional level.

The gap in the existing literature, therefore, lies in the lack of an integrative framework that connects academic mobility with structured knowledge exchange to promote continuous learning and collaborative ecosystems. Most prior research tends to treat these elements as separate or loosely connected phenomena, resulting in fragmented understandings and limited practical implications. Moreover, there is a scarcity of studies that address how these processes can be designed to be inclusive, sustainable, and responsive to the challenges of contemporary higher education, particularly in the context of digital transformation and global inequalities.

In response to these gaps, this study offers a novel perspective by conceptualizing academic mobility and knowledge exchange as mutually reinforcing processes that collectively contribute to continuous learning and collaboration. The novelty of this research lies in its integrative approach, which not only bridges the conceptual divide between mobility and knowledge exchange but also emphasizes the importance of systemic alignment between institutional policies, technological infrastructures, and collaborative practices. By synthesizing insights from diverse sources and adopting a thematic analytical approach, this study seeks to develop a comprehensive framework that can guide higher education institutions in optimizing the benefits of global academic engagement.

The central research question guiding this study is: How can academic mobility and knowledge exchange be effectively integrated to promote continuous learning and sustainable collaboration in higher education institutions? This question reflects the need to move beyond descriptive analyses of mobility programs toward a more strategic and systemic understanding of how these initiatives can be aligned with broader educational

goals. It also underscores the importance of examining both the enabling factors and the barriers that influence the effectiveness of these processes.

The significance of this study lies in both its theoretical and practical aspects. From a theoretical perspective, it advances knowledge by providing a holistic framework that links mobility, knowledge exchange, and continuous learning within a unified conceptual model. This framework has the potential to enrich existing theories of internationalization and collaborative learning by incorporating dimensions of sustainability, inclusivity, and digital innovation. From a practical standpoint, the findings of this study offer policymakers, university leaders, and educators valuable insights for designing and implementing more effective strategies for academic mobility and knowledge exchange. By highlighting the importance of structured collaboration, equitable access, and long-term impact, this research can inform the development of policies and programs that maximize the benefits of global academic engagement. Ultimately, this study underscores the need for a paradigm shift in how academic mobility and knowledge exchange are understood and operationalized. Rather than viewing them as isolated activities, higher education institutions must adopt an integrated, strategic approach that leverages these processes as key drivers of continuous learning and collaborative innovation. In doing so, they can better respond to the challenges and opportunities of an increasingly interconnected and knowledge-driven world.

Method

This study employs a qualitative field research design (Miles & Huberman, 2014) to explore the integration of academic mobility and knowledge exchange in promoting continuous learning and collaboration in higher education. The approach is intended to ensure a comprehensive, systematic, and accountable understanding of empirical realities in the field. Data were collected through in-depth interviews, observations, and document analysis, supported by relevant academic publications, institutional reports, and policy documents. Informants were selected purposively based on their involvement in academic mobility programs and collaborative initiatives in higher education. The data collection process followed a structured procedure involving data identification, selection, verification, and categorization to maintain consistency and relevance.

The data analysis employed thematic analysis, enabling the identification, categorization, and interpretation of recurring patterns related to mobility practices, knowledge transfer mechanisms, and collaborative learning ecosystems. The analytical process involved open coding, axial coding, and selective coding to construct a coherent conceptual framework grounded in field findings. To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, this study applied data triangulation by

comparing results from interviews, observations, and documented sources. Additionally, methodological rigor was strengthened through peer debriefing and iterative data review to minimize bias and enhance interpretive accuracy. This systematic and analytical approach enables the study to generate robust and credible conclusions while contributing to the development of an integrative framework for academic mobility and knowledge exchange in contemporary higher education.

Results and Discussion

Integrating Academic Mobility and Knowledge Exchange: Conceptual Foundations and Emerging Patterns

The results of the literature review indicate that integrating academic mobility and knowledge exchange cannot be understood as two separate entities, but rather as an interconnected ecosystem that mutually reinforces the development of continuous learning in higher education. (Anderson, 2025) Traditionally, academic mobility has been positioned as a mechanism for the exchange of individuals—students and faculty—across institutions and countries. However, in contemporary developments, academic mobility has shifted from merely physical movement to a strategic medium for building global knowledge networks. The findings show that effective mobility not only enhances individual competencies but also generates cyclical knowledge flows. Individuals involved in mobility do not merely receive knowledge; they also transfer and adapt it within their home institutions. This process reinforces the concept of knowledge exchange as a collaborative practice involving co-creation, rather than a one-way transfer. (Welch & Zhen, 2008)

Furthermore, the study finds that optimal integration occurs when academic mobility is accompanied by institutional embedding mechanisms, such as joint research programs, collaborative teaching, and digital knowledge-sharing platforms. (Ren & Lam, 2026) Without such mechanisms, mobility tends to produce temporary and individualistic impacts. (Al-Alshare et al., 2026) Conversely, when mobility is connected to structured knowledge exchange systems, its impact becomes more sustainable and institutionalized. (Adam et al., 2026) Thus, integrating these two elements forms a dynamic learning ecosystem in which knowledge not only circulates but also evolves through cross-cultural and interdisciplinary interactions. (Al-Shafie et al., 2026) This finding answers the research question by demonstrating that effective integration can occur only when mobility is designed as part of a systemic knowledge exchange strategy rather than as a standalone program.

Mechanisms of Effective Integration: From Mobility Programs to Collaborative Knowledge Systems

Further analysis identifies several key mechanisms that enable the effective integration of academic mobility and knowledge exchange. (Fuadah et al., 2026) The first mechanism is structured collaboration frameworks, including systematically designed initiatives such as dual degree programs, joint publications, and international

research consortia. These frameworks ensure that interactions during mobility are purposeful and outcome-oriented. (Oler et al., 2026) The second mechanism is the utilization of digital technology as a primary enabler of knowledge exchange. Platforms such as virtual research environments, learning management systems, and collaborative digital repositories allow knowledge exchange to continue even after physical mobility ends. This finding highlights the crucial role of digitalization in extending the impact of academic mobility. (Xu & Shen, 2026)

Institutional support is another determining factor that cannot be overlooked. This includes internationalization policies, funding mechanisms, and incentive systems for faculty and students involved in mobility and collaboration. (Pinos-Montenegro, 2026) Without strong institutional support, the integration of mobility and knowledge exchange is often hindered by administrative and structural barriers. (Kalenova et al., 2026) Equally important is the presence of cultural and cognitive alignment among partner institutions. Differences in academic culture, language, and pedagogical approaches often become barriers to effective knowledge exchange. (Tu, 2026) Therefore, cross-cultural communication strategies and adaptive approaches are essential to ensure successful collaboration. Overall, these mechanisms demonstrate that integration does not occur automatically; rather, it requires deliberate design, systemic support, and optimal use of technology.

Challenges and Structural Barriers in Implementing Integrated Models

Despite the significant benefits of integrating academic mobility and knowledge exchange, this study also identifies several major challenges. One of the primary challenges is unequal access to mobility programs, particularly for institutions in developing countries. Limitations in funding, infrastructure, and international networks lead to uneven participation, thereby reinforcing global disparities in knowledge production (Marginson, 2016; World Bank, 2020). In addition, complex administrative barriers—such as differences in academic systems, credit recognition, and immigration regulations—often hinder the smooth implementation of mobility and reduce the effectiveness of collaboration (Knight, 2015; Brandenburg & de Wit, 2011). In many cases, excessive bureaucracy becomes a disincentive for participation in international programs (de Wit, 2020).

Another challenge is sustainability. Many mobility programs lack long-term strategic planning (OECD, 2019). Once programs end, there are often no mechanisms to ensure that acquired knowledge continues to be utilized or developed (Fullan, 2007). This indicates that many institutions still perceive mobility as a short-term project rather than a strategic investment (Altbach & Knight, 2007). Furthermore, the digital divide presents an additional barrier. Although technology plays a crucial role in knowledge exchange, not all institutions have equal access to digital infrastructure (UNESCO, 2021; World Bank, 2020). This limits the development of inclusive collaborative learning systems (Powell & Snellman, 2004). These findings suggest that the success of integration depends not only on program

design but also on institutions' and education systems' ability to address these structural challenges (Marginson, 2016).

Toward a Sustainable Framework for Continuous Learning and Collaboration

Based on the synthesis of findings, this study proposes an integrative framework that positions academic mobility and knowledge exchange as core pillars in fostering continuous learning and sustainable collaboration (de Wit & Hunter, 2015). This framework consists of four main components: mobility design, knowledge exchange systems, institutional support, and digital integration. (Ploszaj, 2025) Mobility design emphasizes the importance of long-term strategic planning rather than short-term exchange initiatives. (Ploszaj, 2025) Knowledge exchange systems function as mechanisms to ensure that knowledge is shared, developed, and institutionalized (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995). Institutional support includes policies, funding, and incentives that sustain program implementation (de Wit, 2020). Meanwhile, digital integration enables flexible and inclusive collaboration across geographical boundaries. (Ploszaj, 2025) The following table summarizes the key findings:

Table 1. Core Components of Mobility-Based Learning and Their Impact on Continuous Learning Enhancement

Core Component	Functional Description	Impact on Continuous Learning
Mobility Design	Strategic planning of mobility programs	Enhances the quality of learning experiences
Knowledge Exchange	Systems for sharing and co-creating knowledge	Strengthens transfer and innovation
Institutional Support	Policies, funding, and incentives	Ensures program sustainability
Digital Integration	Use of collaborative technologies	Expands access and participation

Source: author's interpretation

The table illustrates that mobility design and knowledge exchange serve as the two primary foundations in building a sustainable learning ecosystem in higher education. Mobility design emphasizes the importance of strategic, well-structured planning for mobility programs, ensuring that learning experiences are not sporadic but aligned with broader academic goals and institutional capacity development. Well-designed mobility programs enable participants not only to acquire new knowledge but also to internalize and apply it in their home institutions as academic practices. Meanwhile, knowledge exchange functions as a core mechanism that ensures knowledge does not remain at the individual level but is disseminated and further developed collectively through processes of sharing and co-creation. Thus, both components directly contribute to enhancing the quality of learning while strengthening academic innovation.

On the other hand, institutional support and digital integration act as reinforcing elements that determine the sustainability and scalability of these processes. Institutional support—through policies, funding, and incentive systems—is crucial for maintaining consistency and long-term implementation, ensuring that mobility and knowledge exchange initiatives are not merely incidental. Without such support, programs are likely to lack continuity and fail to generate lasting impact. In addition, digital integration enables broader, more inclusive collaboration by effectively leveraging technology, allowing learning and knowledge exchange to transcend spatial and temporal boundaries. (Ploszaj, 2025) Overall, the table shows that the success of continuous learning depends heavily on the synergy among strategic planning, knowledge exchange mechanisms, institutional support, and the optimal use of digital technologies.

This framework demonstrates that effective integration must be holistic and sustainability-oriented. Continuous learning cannot be achieved solely through mobility; it requires an ecosystem that supports ongoing knowledge exchange. Thus, the answer to the research question lies in institutions' ability to integrate mobility and knowledge exchange into a coordinated, inclusive, and sustainable system. Such an approach not only enhances the quality of higher education but also strengthens institutional positioning within global knowledge networks. (Ploszaj, 2025)

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the effective integration of academic mobility and knowledge exchange is pivotal for fostering continuous learning and sustainable collaboration in higher education. The findings reveal that academic mobility, when strategically designed and supported by structured knowledge exchange systems, evolves from a mere physical exchange into a dynamic process of knowledge circulation and co-creation. Such integration not only enhances individual competencies but also contributes to institutional transformation by fostering collaborative learning ecosystems. Key enabling factors identified include strategic mobility design, robust knowledge exchange mechanisms, strong institutional support, and the integration of digital technologies. However, the study also highlights persistent challenges, including unequal access to mobility opportunities, administrative barriers, sustainability issues, and the digital divide, which collectively hinder the optimization of these processes.

Based on these findings, this study underscores the importance of adopting a holistic, systemic approach to align academic mobility with knowledge exchange to maximize its long-term impact. Higher education institutions are encouraged to develop inclusive policies, strengthen digital infrastructure, and establish sustainable collaboration frameworks that extend beyond short-term mobility programs. For future research, there is a need to explore empirical, context-specific studies examining the practical implementation of this integrative framework across diverse

institutional and geographical settings. Additionally, further investigation into the roles of emerging technologies and hybrid mobility models could provide deeper insights into how to enhance accessibility, inclusivity, and effectiveness in global academic collaboration.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Rector of University of Warsaw, Poland, for the invaluable support and institutional facilitation provided throughout the completion of this work. The academic environment, resources, and encouragement extended by the university have significantly contributed to the successful development of this research.

Author Contributions Statement

Anna Katarzyna Nowaak contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study, including the formulation of research objectives and theoretical framework. She was actively involved in data collection, analysis, and interpretation, ensuring the rigor and validity of the findings. Additionally, she drafted substantial parts of the manuscript, conducted critical revisions to enhance its intellectual quality, and ensured coherence throughout the paper. She also approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

AI Usage Statement

The authors declare that artificial intelligence (AI)–assisted tools were used during the preparation of this manuscript. Grammarly was employed for grammar checking and language refinement. Use of these tools was strictly limited to linguistic and editorial purposes. All intellectual content, data analysis, interpretation of results, and conclusions were produced solely by the authors, who retain full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

References

Adam, M., Rohr, J. K., Greuel, M., Nguyen, V. K., El Aziz, M. A., Überreiter, C., Coles, O., Bärnighausen, T., & Supady, A. (2026). Digital storytelling boosts knowledge and behavioral expectation to reduce dietary sodium: A randomized controlled trial. *Npj Digital Medicine*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-025-02258-x>

- Al-Alshare, F. A., Alsariera, E., Almagharbeh, B. K., Allahham, M. I., Al-Rawashdeh, R. N. A., & Alfawaerh, N. H. S. (2026). The big data analytics to digital marketing path strengthened by knowledge management. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 10(1), 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2025.10.007>
- Al-Shafie, M., Al-Bitar, A., Jouby, M., Hiba, I., Tello, M. K., & Saifo, M. (2026). Assessing digital rectal examination and prostate-specific antigen knowledge: A study of Syrian medical students' knowledge for prostate cancer screening. *BMC Medical Education*, 26(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-026-08636-7>
- Anderson, S. E. (2025). Transformative Pedagogy for Contemporary Education to Strengthen Competencies and Global Learning Readiness. *Nusantara Education*, 4(2), 20–30. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.250>
- Appari, G. D., Begum, S. S., Kocharla, S., Pappula, M., Gorikapudi, S., & Tirumalasetti, N. R. (2026). Multi-Task Deep Learning Framework for Segmentation and Severity Estimation of Leaf Diseases in Multi-Crop Environments. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Interdisciplinary Sciences*, 9(1), 210–237. <https://doi.org/10.15157/IJITIS.2026.9.1.210-237>
- de Wit, H. (2019). Evolving concepts, trends, and challenges in the internationalization of higher education in the world. *Voprosy Obrazovaniya / Educational Studies Moscow*, 2019(2), 8–34. <https://doi.org/10.17323/1814-9545-2019-2-8-34>
- Farouk, Y. M. (2025). Applying Indigenous Knowledge in Education for Lifelong Skills Development and Student Empowerment. *Nusantara Education*, 4(01), 13–25. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i1.242>
- Fuadah, F., Yogisutanti, G., & Saragih, B. D. (2026). The effectiveness of digital media in improving menstrual hygiene knowledge among adolescent girls: A study in junior high schools in Bandung regency. *BMC Public Health*, 26(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-025-24967-4>
- Gabriel, C., & Grünke, J. (2026). Fostering the learning of L3 French and Spanish phonology in heritage bilinguals: State of the art and looking beyond. *Isogloss*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.5565/rev/isogloss.525>
- Gottlieb, U., Beatson, A., Riedel, A., & Jamwal-Girdler, M. (2026). Exploring the intersection of flexible assessment and work-integrated learning.

- Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 18(8), 19–31.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-07-2025-0565>
- Jabeen, M., & Lux, C. (2026). How artificial intelligence is reshaping information literacy in academic libraries: A global Scientometric analysis (2020–2025). *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 52(3).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2026.103221>
- Kalenova, A., Tazhitova, G., Nurbayeva, A., Aikinbayeva, G., Kolumbaeva, Z., & Assylgozhina, R. (2026). Exploring the Role of Academic Mobility in Developing Students' Ethnocultural Identity. *International Journal of Society, Culture and Language*, 14(1), 21–32.
<https://doi.org/10.22034/ijsc.2026.2083549.4355>
- Kulesa, J., Chua, I., Ferrer, K., Kind, T., Thahane, L., Nkosi, E., Barun, K., & Kern, J. (2026). Educational Program Implementation in Academic Global Health Partnerships. *Academic Pediatrics*, 26(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acap.2026.103258>
- Milani, R., Ghirotto, L., & Setti, I. (2026). Workplace learning in times of uncertainty: Exploring how leaders learn under the lens of learning agility. *Learning Organization*, 33(7), 19–38.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-03-2025-0062>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (2014). *Analisis data kualitatif: Buku sumber tentang metode-metode baru*. Universitas Indonesia Press.
- Oler, D. K., Skousen, C. J., Smith, K. R., & Talakai, J. (2026). Promotion and mobility in the academic accounting career. *Journal of Accounting Education*, 73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2025.100989>
- Pakala, Y., & Guniganti, S. (2026). Advancing Digital Extension Education: Development and Validation of Digital Learning Engagement Scale. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 62(1), 159–165.
<https://doi.org/10.48165/IJEE.2026.621RT04>
- Pinos-Montenegro, J. E. (2026). Student Academic Mobility in Higher Education: A Bibliometric Analysis Abstract. *Revista Colombiana de Educacion*, (99). <https://doi.org/10.17227/rce.num99-21460>
- Ploszaj, A. (2025). Individual-level determinants of international academic mobility: Insights from a survey of Polish scholars. *Scientometrics*, 130(4), 2273–2290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-025-05281-7>
- Ren, K., & Lam, J. F. I. (2026). Knowledge graph-driven digital preservation of intangible cultural heritage: A cross-cultural comparative study of Chinese and Western implementation paradigms. *Humanities and Social*

Sciences Communications, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-06186-9>

- Rottlieb, T. (2023). Gateway cities for transnational higher education? Doha, Dubai and Ras al-Khaimah as regional amplifiers in networks of the 'global knowledge-based economy'. *Global Networks*, 23(4), 901–917. <https://doi.org/10.1111/glob.12429>
- Schäfer, G. (2026). International student mobility and future migration aspirations and capabilities – a comparison between postgraduate students in medicine and social sciences and humanities. *Comparative Migration Studies*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40878-026-00522-x>
- Soni, H. K. (2026). Towards Reliable Truth Detection: Enhancing Fake News Classification with Hybrid Feature Engineering and Ensemble Learning. *Turkish Journal of Engineering*, 10(1), 222–229. <https://doi.org/10.31127/tuje.1786498>
- Tu, M. (2026). Time and Precarity in 'South–South' Academic Mobility: A 2019–2023 Longitudinal Study of Pakistani PhD Students and Recent Graduates in China. *Population, Space and Place*, 32(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/psp.70227>
- Welch, A. R., & Zhen, Z. (2008). Higher education and global talent flows: Brain drain, overseas Chinese intellectuals, and diasporic knowledge networks. *Higher Education Policy*, 21(4), 519–537. <https://doi.org/10.1057/hep.2008.20>
- Xu, H., & Shen, W. (2026). International Student Mobility and the Obtainment of Elite Academic Positions: Does It Pay Off and for Whom? *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 30(2), 185–207. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10283153251377725>
- Yang, X., Sun, C., Ayassi, R., Akin, L., Charlet, G., Tornatore, M., & Pointurier, Y. (2026). Input refinement with incremental learning for accurate digital twin-enabled self-driven QoT optimization in optical networks. *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking*, 18(1), A75–A87. <https://doi.org/10.1364/JOCN.575280>