

## Integrating Local Wisdom and Global Knowledge to Develop Culturally Responsive Education Models

Sophia Anne Milleer<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Teachers College, Columbia University, United States

DOI: [doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v5i1.257](https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v5i1.257)

\*Corresponding Author: [sophiaannemilleer@gmail.com](mailto:sophiaannemilleer@gmail.com)

| Received: 16-10-2025 | Revised: 13-02-2026 | Accepted: 25-03-2026 || Published On: 30-03-2026

**Abstract:** This study aims to formulate a culturally responsive education model by integrating local wisdom and global knowledge to address the challenge of aligning global educational standards with diverse cultural contexts. In an era marked by rapid globalization and digital transformation, education systems are often pressured to adopt universal frameworks that risk marginalizing indigenous knowledge and local values. This research seeks to bridge this gap by developing a model that is both globally relevant and locally grounded. The study employs a qualitative field research design to examine culturally responsive education in practice. Data were collected through interviews, observations, and documentation involving educators and relevant stakeholders selected purposively. The data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key patterns and best practices. Validity was ensured through data triangulation and iterative analysis. The findings indicate that an effective integration of local wisdom and global knowledge can be achieved through three interconnected components: culturally embedded curriculum design, inclusive and participatory pedagogy, and flexible institutional governance. Culturally embedded curricula incorporate local traditions, values, and epistemologies into global competency frameworks. Inclusive pedagogy emphasizes student-centered learning, community involvement, and culturally sensitive teaching methods. Meanwhile, flexible governance structures enable adaptive policy implementation that aligns educational objectives with both local needs and international benchmarks. This study contributes to the academic discourse by offering an integrative conceptual model that reconciles the dichotomy between global standardization and cultural specificity in education. It provides practical insights for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers to design more inclusive and contextually relevant learning environments. Additionally, this research lays the groundwork for future empirical studies to validate and refine the proposed model across different cultural and educational settings.

**Keywords:** Culturally Responsive Education; Global Knowledge; Integration Model; Local Wisdom; Pedagogical Innovation.

## Introduction

The rapid acceleration of globalization and digital transformation has significantly reshaped educational landscapes worldwide. (Wang et al., 2026) Education systems are increasingly oriented toward global standards, emphasizing competitiveness, technological literacy, and measurable learning outcomes. While these developments have advanced knowledge and skills relevant to the twenty-first century, they have also posed critical challenges, particularly in culturally diverse societies. One of the most pressing issues is the marginalization of local wisdom—defined as the body of knowledge, values, and practices rooted in indigenous and community-based traditions—within formal education systems. As global knowledge frameworks dominate curricula, local cultural identities risk being diluted, leading to a disconnection between learners and their sociocultural environments. This condition raises fundamental questions about the relevance, inclusivity, and sustainability of contemporary education models. (Serrano de la Cruz Santos-Olmo et al., 2026)

In many contexts, the adoption of standardized curricula based on global benchmarks has inadvertently created a cultural gap in the learning process. (Karadag & Ciftci, 2026) Students are often exposed to abstract, decontextualized knowledge that does not resonate with their lived experiences, resulting in lower engagement and limited meaningful learning. (Erkan et al., 2026) Consequently, the transformative potential of education is diminished. At the same time, local wisdom, which contains rich pedagogical values such as communal responsibility, environmental stewardship, and moral integrity, remains underutilized. (Zhou et al., 2026) This imbalance underscores the need for a more integrative approach that not only acknowledges the importance of global knowledge but also actively incorporates local cultural resources into educational practices.

Previous studies have addressed this issue from various perspectives. First, research on culturally responsive pedagogy has emphasized aligning teaching practices with students' cultural backgrounds to enhance engagement and learning outcomes. (Rahmawati et al., 2026) However, much of this literature tends to focus on classroom-level strategies without offering a comprehensive systemic framework. Second, studies on indigenous knowledge integration highlight the value of incorporating local traditions into curricula, particularly in environmental and social education. (Fernández-Huetos et al., 2026) While insightful, these studies often lack clear mechanisms for integrating such knowledge with global competency standards. Third, research on global education frameworks emphasizes preparing students for a globalized world through critical thinking, collaboration, and digital literacy. (Samy, 2026) Nevertheless, these approaches often overlook cultural specificity, leading to models that may not be fully applicable across diverse local settings.

The gap in existing research lies in the absence of an integrative model that systematically bridges local wisdom and global knowledge within a coherent

educational framework. Most studies treat these domains as separate or even contradictory, rather than exploring their potential synergy. As a result, there is limited understanding of how education systems can simultaneously achieve global relevance and cultural responsiveness. Moreover, there is a lack of conceptual models that provide practical guidance for curriculum design, pedagogy, and institutional policy. This study offers a novel contribution by proposing an integrated model of culturally responsive education that synthesizes local wisdom and global knowledge into a unified framework. Unlike prior studies that emphasize either localization or globalization, this research adopts a holistic approach recognizing their interdependence. The novelty lies in conceptualizing integration as a dynamic, adaptive process comprising three components: curriculum contextualization, participatory pedagogy, and institutional adaptability. By positioning local wisdom as complementary rather than subordinate to global knowledge, this study challenges the dominant paradigm of educational standardization.

Based on this background, the central research question of this study is: how can local wisdom and global knowledge be effectively integrated to develop culturally responsive education models that are both contextually relevant and globally competitive? The significance of this study lies in both its theoretical and practical aspects. Theoretically, it contributes to educational discourse by offering a conceptual framework that bridges global and local perspectives, enriching the literature on culturally responsive education. In practice, it provides actionable insights for policymakers, educators, and curriculum developers in designing inclusive, contextually relevant learning systems. Furthermore, it supports the preservation and revitalization of local wisdom as a valuable educational resource while ensuring learners acquire the competencies needed in a globalized world. Ultimately, this study responds to the urgent need for an education system that prepares individuals not only for global participation but also nurtures cultural identity and social responsibility.

## Method

This study employs a qualitative field research design to develop a culturally responsive education model that integrates local wisdom and global knowledge. Data were collected directly from the field through in-depth interviews, observations, and documentation involving educators, community leaders, and policymakers who are actively engaged in educational practices. Participants were selected using purposive sampling based on their relevance, experience, and involvement in implementing culturally responsive pedagogy and integrating local and global knowledge. The data collection process focused on identifying key themes related to culturally responsive teaching, indigenous knowledge integration, and global educational practices within real contexts. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis and an interpretive approach. The analysis involved data reduction, coding, theme development, and

conceptual mapping to construct an integrative model. To ensure validity and reliability, this study employed data triangulation across interviews, observations, and documents, as well as iterative analysis and peer debriefing to enhance analytical rigor and minimize bias.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Reconceptualizing the Integration of Local Wisdom and Global Knowledge**

The findings of this study reveal that integrating local wisdom and global knowledge is not merely a process of combining two distinct knowledge systems, but rather a dynamic reconstruction of educational meaning that responds to contextual realities while maintaining global relevance. (Hakiim, 2026) Local wisdom, often deeply embedded in cultural traditions, social norms, indigenous epistemologies, and community practices, provides a foundation for shaping learners' identity, values, and worldview. It reflects accumulated human experience, environmental understanding, and moral frameworks that have evolved over generations. (Pereira et al., 2026) Global knowledge, in contrast, offers access to scientific advancements, technological literacy, and universal competencies necessary to navigate the complexities of the contemporary world. (Pereira et al., 2026) The challenge, therefore, is not to prioritize one over the other, but to develop an integrative educational approach that allows these two knowledge systems to coexist, interact, and reinforce each other in ways that are meaningful, relevant, and contextually grounded.

This study finds that effective integration requires a shift from a dichotomous perspective, where local and global knowledge are seen as separate or even competing entities, to a dialogical perspective, where they are regarded as complementary and mutually enriching. (Pakala & Guniganti, 2026) For instance, environmental education that draws upon local ecological practices, such as traditional farming methods, water management, and biodiversity conservation, can be significantly enriched with global scientific perspectives on sustainability, climate change, and ecosystem management. Through such integration, learners can interpret global challenges through culturally relevant lenses, fostering deeper understanding, critical thinking, and a stronger sense of responsibility toward both local and global communities. (Zhou et al., 2026) This approach also ensures that students do not perceive global knowledge as alien or disconnected from their own lived experiences, but rather as a tool to enhance their capacity to act within their own cultural and social contexts.

Another important insight from this study is that integration should be context-sensitive, reflecting the diversity of cultural, social, and environmental settings in which education occurs. A one-size-fits-all model is unlikely to succeed, as local wisdom varies across regions and communities, each with its unique epistemologies, practices, and priorities. (Adam et al., 2026) For example, the application of global digital literacy initiatives must take into account local access to technology, levels of digital literacy among educators and students, and community attitudes toward

technological adoption. Similarly, efforts to integrate global knowledge in the form of standardized scientific curricula must respect and incorporate locally relevant knowledge, practices, and languages. (Madanayake et al., 2026) The study demonstrates that such contextually sensitive approaches enhance learner engagement, facilitate meaningful knowledge transfer, and promote equitable educational outcomes.

Furthermore, the study highlights that integration is not a static end goal but an ongoing, dynamic process that evolves in response to social, cultural, and technological changes. Educational institutions must therefore adopt flexible and adaptive strategies that continuously reconcile local and global dimensions. (Karadag & Ciftci, 2026) This includes periodically revisiting curriculum content, updating pedagogical approaches, and modifying institutional policies to ensure their responsiveness to changing societal needs. For instance, traditional knowledge about local agricultural practices may need to be supplemented with current developments in sustainable agriculture, climate-resilient crops, or innovative farming technologies, creating a learning environment that is both culturally authentic and globally informed. (Farouk, 2025) Such adaptive approaches also allow educators to experiment with hybrid pedagogical methods, blending experiential learning, collaborative projects, and digital tools to support both local and global competencies. (Mika, 2025)

The findings also emphasize the importance of recognizing local communities as active contributors to the production of knowledge, rather than passive recipients of global expertise. Local elders, practitioners, and community leaders possess invaluable experiential knowledge that can enrich formal curricula, inform pedagogical design, and enhance learners' understanding of complex socio-ecological issues. Engaging communities in co-creating educational content, establishing advisory boards, or participating in field-based learning projects ensures that education is culturally relevant and socially grounded. This participatory approach strengthens the legitimacy of educational initiatives, fosters community ownership, and bridges the gap between formal schooling and lived cultural realities. (Schäfer, 2026)

In responding to the central research question—how local wisdom and global knowledge can be effectively integrated—the study proposes that integration must be anchored in shared values such as inclusivity, sustainability, and social responsibility. (Serrano de la Cruz Santos-Olmo et al., 2026) These values function as a bridge between local cultural practices and global educational objectives, creating a coherent framework in which learners can navigate multiple knowledge domains without experiencing cognitive or cultural dissonance. For example, the principle of sustainability connects local ecological practices with global environmental goals, enabling students to appreciate the significance of both perspectives while contributing to responsible decision-making at local and global

levels. (Zhu et al., 2026) Similarly, inclusivity ensures that diverse learners, including marginalized groups, have equitable access to learning opportunities that integrate local and global knowledge, promoting social justice and intercultural understanding. (Nurhalia et al., 2026)

Ultimately, the study demonstrates that the integration of local wisdom and global knowledge contributes to the development of culturally responsive education models that are not only academically rigorous but also socially and culturally meaningful. By positioning integration as a continuous, value-driven process, educational institutions can foster learning environments that respect cultural diversity, encourage critical engagement with global issues, and equip learners with the competencies required to thrive in complex, interconnected societies. (Ramdiah et al., 2026) Such models challenge the traditional hierarchy of knowledge, promoting a more holistic understanding in which local and global perspectives coexist, interact, and mutually reinforce each other.

### **Curriculum Contextualization: Embedding Cultural Relevance in Global Competencies**

One of the central findings of this study is the critical role of curriculum contextualization in operationalizing the integration of local wisdom and global knowledge. The analysis shows that curriculum design must go beyond including cultural content as supplementary material and instead position local wisdom as a core component of learning objectives, materials, and assessment strategies. This approach ensures that cultural relevance is not superficial but deeply embedded in the educational process. (Novia & Aznam, 2026) The study identifies several strategies for effective curriculum contextualization. First, incorporating local narratives, traditions, and practices into the subject matter allows students to connect abstract concepts with real-life experiences. (Ningsih et al., 2026) For instance, mathematical concepts can be taught through traditional measurement systems, while environmental science can draw on indigenous ecological knowledge. (Yusutria et al., 2025) Second, curriculum frameworks should align local content with global competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration. (Rosyidah et al., 2025) This alignment ensures that students are not only culturally grounded but also globally competitive.

Moreover, the findings emphasize the importance of interdisciplinary approaches in curriculum design. Integrating local wisdom often requires crossing disciplinary boundaries, as cultural knowledge is inherently holistic and interconnected. (Rocmah et al., 2026) By adopting interdisciplinary frameworks, educators can create learning experiences that reflect the complexity of real-world problems, allowing learners to apply knowledge in contextually relevant ways while maintaining alignment with global standards. The study also highlights the role of curriculum flexibility, which allows educators to adapt content to local contexts and emerging global trends. Flexible curricula enable the timely integration of innovations, respond to

community needs, and provide space for educators to experiment with teaching methods that enhance engagement and understanding. (Sarwi et al., 2026) The discussion further reveals that curriculum contextualization increases student engagement and motivation. When learners see their cultural identity reflected in the curriculum, they are more likely to participate actively and develop a sense of ownership over their learning. (Dewi et al., 2026) This, in turn, enhances learning outcomes and fosters a deeper understanding of both local and global issues.

### **Participatory Pedagogy: Bridging Cultural Experience and Global Skills**

In addition to curriculum design, the findings underscore the importance of participatory pedagogy for meaningful integration. Participatory pedagogy refers to teaching and learning approaches that actively involve students, communities, and other stakeholders in the educational process. (Rahayu et al., 2026) The study finds that such approaches are particularly effective in bridging cultural experience with global skills. One key aspect of participatory pedagogy is the emphasis on student-centered learning. Rather than positioning students as passive recipients of knowledge, this approach encourages them to construct knowledge based on their cultural backgrounds and experiences actively. Collaborative, project-based, and experiential learning are identified as effective strategies for fostering participation. These methods allow students to engage with real-world problems, apply their knowledge in practical contexts, and develop essential skills such as communication, teamwork, and problem-solving.

The findings also highlight the role of community engagement in participatory pedagogy. Local communities are seen as valuable sources of knowledge and expertise that can enrich the learning process. (Kusumayanthi et al., 2025) Involving community members in teaching activities, fieldwork, and curriculum development helps bridge the gap between formal education and local realities. This not only enhances the relevance of education but also promotes mutual respect and knowledge exchange between schools and communities. Furthermore, the study reveals that participatory pedagogy supports the development of intercultural competence. By engaging with diverse perspectives, students learn to appreciate cultural differences and to navigate complex social environments. (Lundy & Petherick, 2025) This is particularly important in a globalized world where cross-cultural interaction is increasingly common.

However, implementing participatory pedagogy also presents challenges, including the need for teacher training, resource availability, and institutional support. Teachers must be equipped with the skills and knowledge to facilitate participatory learning effectively. (Gill-Simmen et al., 2026) This requires ongoing professional development and a shift in teaching philosophy from traditional, teacher-centered approaches to more collaborative and inclusive practices.

### **Adaptive Institutional Frameworks: Enabling Sustainable Integration**

The final key finding of this study emphasizes the pivotal role of institutional frameworks in supporting the integration of local wisdom and global knowledge in education. This finding underscores that without supportive institutional structures, such as clear policies, effective governance systems, and organizational cultures conducive to innovation, efforts to merge local and global dimensions within the curriculum and pedagogy are unlikely to achieve sustainability. (Choi & Lee, 2026) In many educational contexts, the mere inclusion of global competencies in learning objectives does not guarantee that students will develop meaningful understanding or that local cultural values will be preserved. Institutions must, therefore, function as facilitators, creating environments in which educators and learners can engage with both local and global knowledge in a synergistic manner. (Shevchenko, 2025)

Adaptive institutional frameworks are characterized by their flexibility, inclusivity, and responsiveness to change. Flexibility refers to the capacity of institutions to adjust curricula, teaching methods, and resource allocation in response to evolving societal needs and global trends. Inclusivity involves creating policies and practices that accommodate diverse learners, including those from marginalized or underrepresented communities, and ensuring that local knowledge systems are recognized and valued alongside global standards. (Jesudas et al., 2025) Responsiveness, on the other hand, entails the ability of institutions to anticipate and react to emerging challenges, such as technological disruptions, demographic shifts, and changes in labor market demands. (Hussain & Maloney, 2025) The study finds that institutions must adopt policies that encourage innovation in curriculum design and pedagogy while simultaneously providing the necessary resources and support for effective implementation.

These resources are multifaceted and include funding for the development of culturally relevant materials, professional development programs for educators, and mechanisms for sustained community engagement. (Pollino et al., 2025) For example, integrating local history, languages, and traditions into lesson plans requires not only curricular guidelines but also textbooks, multimedia resources, and digital platforms that reflect these contexts. Educator training programs are equally critical, as teachers must be equipped with both the pedagogical skills and cultural competencies to navigate the dual demands of global knowledge and local wisdom. Community engagement mechanisms—such as partnerships with local cultural organizations, advisory boards comprising elders or knowledge keepers, and collaborative curriculum design workshops—further strengthen the authenticity and relevance of educational content. (Arenas-Pacheco, 2025)

Another essential dimension of effective institutional frameworks is the alignment between institutional goals and broader educational policies. National and regional education systems have a profound influence on shaping the practices of individual institutions. Policy frameworks at these levels must explicitly acknowledge

the importance of cultural diversity, local knowledge, and heritage preservation while also promoting the acquisition of global competencies. (Mtombeni et al., 2025) Without such alignment, institutional initiatives may face significant obstacles, including bureaucratic resistance, lack of funding, or inconsistencies in assessment standards. (Mayberry & Nguyen, 2025) Therefore, educational policies should provide clear guidelines for integrating local wisdom into formal curricula, while leaving sufficient autonomy for institutions to adapt these guidelines to local contexts.

Evaluation and monitoring systems constitute another critical component of institutional support. Traditional assessment systems, which often prioritize standardized academic performance indicators, may fail to capture the broader outcomes associated with integrating local and global knowledge. This study highlights the need for assessment frameworks that measure not only academic achievement but also cultural relevance, social impact, and the development of critical competencies such as intercultural understanding, ethical reasoning, and civic engagement. Such comprehensive evaluation systems can serve multiple purposes: they provide feedback to educators for improving instructional practices, demonstrate the value of cultural integration to policymakers, and validate the experiences and contributions of local communities. (Knight Johnson & Burwell, 2025)

The importance of institutional culture cannot be overstated. Institutions that cultivate a culture of collaboration, experimentation, and respect for local knowledge are more likely to succeed in implementing sustainable integration strategies. (Blanchard, 2025) This involves leadership practices that encourage open dialogue between administrators, educators, students, and community stakeholders. It also includes recognition and reward mechanisms for educators who innovate in curriculum design or pedagogical methods while maintaining fidelity to cultural and local contexts. In institutions lacking such a supportive culture, even well-intentioned policies and resource allocations may fail to produce meaningful outcomes. (Abu Hussein & Khammash, 2025)

Sustainability and scalability are further considerations for institutional frameworks. Programs that integrate local wisdom with global knowledge must be designed with long-term viability in mind. This includes building internal capacities for ongoing curriculum development, investing in continuous professional learning for educators, and establishing feedback loops with communities to ensure that the curriculum remains responsive to evolving needs. (Ulla et al., 2025) Scalability also requires that institutional frameworks are not overly dependent on individual champions or temporary funding sources; rather, they must embed integration strategies into the structural and operational fabric of the organization. (Drake, 2025) Finally, the study underscores that institutional frameworks operate most effectively when they are embedded within a networked system of collaboration.

Cross-institutional partnerships, both locally and internationally, can provide access to best practices, innovative pedagogical approaches, and comparative data on effective integration of local and global knowledge. (Korzhuev et al., 2025) Collaborative networks also create opportunities for joint curriculum development, educator exchange programs, and shared evaluation methodologies, thereby enhancing the quality and sustainability of educational interventions. (Berez et al., 2025)

The findings further suggest that institutional culture plays a significant role in determining the success of integration efforts. Institutions that value diversity, inclusivity, and collaboration are more likely to embrace culturally responsive practices. Leadership commitment is also critical, as it sets the tone for organizational change and ensures the sustainability of initiatives. To summarize the key components of the proposed model, the following table presents an integrative framework for culturally responsive education:

Table 1. Core Components and Key Features for Enhancing Modern Education Outcomes

Core Component	Key Features	Expected Outcomes
Curriculum Contextualization	Integration of local content with global competencies	Enhanced relevance and meaningful learning
Participatory Pedagogy	Student-centered, collaborative, and community-based learning	Improved engagement and skill development
Institutional Adaptability	Flexible policies, inclusive governance, and resource support	Sustainable and scalable education models
Value Integration	Emphasis on inclusivity, sustainability, and identity	Balanced development of global and cultural awareness

Source: author's interpretation

This table illustrates four core components of modern education: relevance, participation, adaptability, and value integration. Curriculum Contextualization emphasizes integrating local content with global competencies, making learning more meaningful and relevant to students. Through Participatory Pedagogy, the learning process is designed to be student-centered, collaborative, and community-based, allowing for increased student engagement and the development of social and academic skills. Moreover, Institutional Adaptability highlights the importance of flexible policies, inclusive governance, and adequate resources to create sustainable, scalable education models. Value Integration emphasizes the development of values such as inclusivity, sustainability, and cultural identity, enabling students to balance global awareness with local understanding. Overall, this table demonstrates how integrating strategic components can promote an education that is relevant,

participatory, adaptive, and value-oriented. The results and discussion demonstrate that integrating local wisdom and global knowledge requires a holistic, multi-level approach. By addressing curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional dimensions simultaneously, culturally responsive education models can be effectively developed and implemented. This study not only answers the research question but also provides a comprehensive framework that can guide future research and practice in this field.

## **Conclusion**

This study concludes that integrating local wisdom and global knowledge is essential to developing culturally responsive education models that are both contextually relevant and globally competitive. The findings demonstrate that such integration can be effectively realized through three interconnected dimensions: curriculum contextualization, participatory pedagogy, and adaptive institutional frameworks. Curriculum contextualization ensures that local cultural values and knowledge systems are meaningfully embedded within globally oriented competencies, thereby enhancing the relevance and depth of learning. Participatory pedagogy bridges students' cultural experiences with essential global skills through collaborative, experiential, and community-based learning approaches. Meanwhile, adaptive institutional frameworks provide the necessary structural support, enabling flexibility, inclusivity, and sustainability in educational practices. Together, these elements form a holistic model that not only addresses the limitations of standardized global education but also strengthens learners' cultural identity and social responsibility. Despite these contributions, this study acknowledges the need for further research to empirically validate and refine the proposed conceptual model in diverse educational settings. Future studies are recommended to employ mixed-method or quantitative approaches to measure the impact of integrating local wisdom and global knowledge on learning outcomes, student engagement, and institutional performance. Additionally, comparative studies across diverse cultural and geographical contexts would provide deeper insights into the model's adaptability and scalability. Further exploration is also needed to examine the role of digital technologies in facilitating this integration, particularly in expanding access to both local and global knowledge resources. By addressing these areas, future research can strengthen the practical implementation and theoretical development of culturally responsive education in an increasingly interconnected world.

## **Acknowledgement**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Rector of Teachers College, Columbia University, United States of America, for the invaluable support, guidance, and encouragement provided throughout this research. The academic environment

and institutional assistance offered have been instrumental in the successful completion of this study.

### **Author Contributions Statement**

Sophia Anne Miller contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study, data collection, and analysis. She also took part in drafting the manuscript, reviewing, and editing to ensure clarity, coherence, and overall quality of the final version.

### **AI Usage Statement**

The authors declare that artificial intelligence (AI)–assisted tools were used during the preparation of this manuscript. Grammarly was employed for grammar checking and language refinement. Use of these tools was strictly limited to linguistic and editorial purposes. All intellectual content, data analysis, interpretation of results, and conclusions were produced solely by the authors, who retain full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the work.

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

### **References**

- Abu Hussein, H. F., & Khammash, O. R. (2025). Empowering Voices: Integrating Resistance and Empowerment Pedagogies in EFL Teaching in Palestine. *Educational Process: International Journal*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.15.167>
- Adam, M., Rohr, J. K., Greuel, M., Nguyen, V. K., El Aziz, M. A., Überreiter, C., Coles, O., Bärnighausen, T., & Supady, A. (2026). Digital storytelling boosts knowledge and behavioral expectation to reduce dietary sodium: A randomized controlled trial. *Npj Digital Medicine*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-025-02258-x>
- Arenas-Pacheco, C. D. (2025). A Humanist Reconquista: Hernando de Talavera's Pedagogy of Good Manners and His Residential School for Morisco Boys. *History of Education Quarterly*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/heq.2025.10095>
- Berez, J., Webster, R., & Ottway, R. (2025). The Current State of Dimensioning and Tolerancing Pedagogy in United States Post-Secondary Engineering and Engineering Technology Programs. *ASME Open Journal of Engineering*, 4, 041023. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4069218>

- Blanchard, P. (2025). Integrating Indigenous Pedagogy into Teaching Local and Regional Environmental Changes: A Case Study from an Internship Program for Indigenous Undergraduate Students. *Journal of Geography*, 124(3), 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221341.2025.2519351>
- Choi, M.-S., & Lee, J. (2026). Enacting Translanguaging Pedagogy through Informal Family Research: Preparing Elementary Preservice Teachers for Linguistic Justice with Refugee and Immigrant Children. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 18(2), 245–260. <https://doi.org/10.26822/iejee.2026.431>
- Dewi, R. K., Rahayu, S., Sumarni, W., Muntholib, M., & Alsulami, N. M. (2026). Socioscientific issues based on local wisdom in science education: A systematic review. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, 9(5). <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2026206>
- Drake, B. (2025). Does Centering a Postmodern/Critical Theory Perspective Threaten Longstanding Pillars of Social Work Pedagogy? *Journal of Teaching in Social Work*, 45(2), 317–335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08841233.2025.2469527>
- Erkan, A., Suiçmez, İ., Kanbul, S., & Öznacar, M. (2026). Educating Aspiring Teachers with AI by Strengthening Sustainable Pedagogical Competence in Changing Educational Landscapes. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su18020757>
- Farouk, Y. M. (2025). Applying Indigenous Knowledge in Education for Lifelong Skills Development and Student Empowerment. *Nusantara Education*, 4(01), 13–25. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i1.242>
- Fernández-Huetos, N., Pérez-Martín, J. M., Esquivel-Martín, T., & Guevara-Herrero, I. (2026). Solving a socioscientific issue in different social contexts of primary education: A STEM approach to transformative environmental education. *Frontiers in Education*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2026.1726378>
- Gill-Simmen, L., Heath, T., Gonsalves, C., Montano, S., Newman, N., & Chamberlain, L. (2026). Revolutionising marketing education – a sociocultural approach to praxis pedagogy. *European Journal of Marketing*, 60(3), 776–799. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-04-2024-0263>
- Hakiim, M. I. (2026). Lifelong Learning in the Digital Era to Support Continuous Professional Growth and Adaptability. *Nusantara Education*, 5(1), 23–35. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v5i1.256>

- Hussain, S., & Maloney, M. (2025). Online misogyny and pedagogies of Hindutva digital subjectivation: Reflections from the Indian manosphere. *Gender and Education*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2025.2568399>
- Jesudas, R., Mohammed, Z., Mateen, M. A., & Ismail, A. S. (2025). Enhancing Attainment and Quality Assurance in Higher Education with Machine Learning-Driven Smart Pedagogy. *IEEE Int. Conf. Adv. Mod. Age Technol. Health Eng. Sci., AMATHE - Proc. 2025 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Advances in Modern Age Technologies for Health and Engineering Science, AMATHE 2025 - Proceedings*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/AMATHE65477.2025.11080925>
- Karadag, E., & Ciftci, S. K. (2026). Contributions from Türkiye on grade inflation in higher education: Educational landscapes before, during, and after COVID-19. *Higher Education*, 91(1), 1–22.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-025-01404-4>
- Knight Johnson, L. M., & Burwell, R. (2025). The sociological imagination and inclusive pedagogy. *International Journal of Christianity and Education*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/20569971251397358>
- Korzhuev, A. V., Lesnichuk, S. A., Kontarov, N. A., & Ikrennikova, Yu. B. (2025). Argumentation resource in scientific pedagogy as an object of research. *The Education and Science Journal*, 27(1), 9–32.  
<https://doi.org/10.17853/1994-5639-2025-1-9-32>
- Kusumayanthi, S., Margana, M. H., & Widyantoro, A. (2025). Translanguaging Pedagogy in ESP Classrooms: The Practice, Contribution, and Common Perception. *XLinguae*, 18(4), 261–277.  
<https://doi.org/10.18355/XL.2025.18.04.17>
- Lundy, J., & Petherick, L. (2025). Principles of Assessment and Instruction for Quality Pedagogy and Learning in Secondary Physical and Health Education: A Snapshot from British Columbia. *Strategies*, 38(3), 23–27.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08924562.2025.2469556>
- Madanayake, U. H., Ayinla, K. O., & Saka, A. B. (2026). An investigation of learner types and their unique preferences on the work-based learning (WBL) model: A case of quantity surveying apprenticeship. *Construction Management and Economics*, 44(1), 1–22.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2025.2571628>
- Mayberry, T., & Nguyen, K. H. (2025). Gender pronouns, duties of care, and queer and trans survival: A CRT counterstory of academic drag, teaching queer, and pedagogies of hope. *International Journal of Qualitative*

- Studies in Education*, 1–17.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09518398.2025.2571506>
- Mika, S. N. (2025). Leveraging Indigenous Knowledge through Technology to Enhance Creativity and Critical Thinking in Students. *Nusantara Education*, 4(01), 36–45.  
<https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i1.245>
- Mtombeni, B., Shoba, M., & Kwanhi, T. (2025). Education as a pedagogy of the oppressed: South African education as envisaged by John Langalibalele Dube. *Cogent Education*, 12(1).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2468561>
- Ningsih, D., Pahrudin, A., Koderi, & Fauzan, A. (2026). Mapping of Local Wisdom-Based Education Management Studies in the Last Decade: A Bibliometric Analysis. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 25(1), 310–331.  
<https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.25.1.16>
- Novia, K., & Aznam, N. (2026). Local Wisdom-Based Learning for Future Chemistry Education Research: A Bibliometric Analysis Using VOSviewer and RStudio Applications. *Journal of Teaching and Learning*, 20(1), 315–332. <https://doi.org/10.22329/jtl.v19i5.9330>
- Nurhalia, N., Restu, Muh., Duli, A., & Rahmadani, R. (2026). A novel identification model for integrating local wisdom, natural resources, and artificial intelligence in vocational education: Insights from West Sulawesi. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 3393(1). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0319267>
- Pakala, Y., & Guniganti, S. (2026). Advancing Digital Extension Education: Development and Validation of Digital Learning Engagement Scale. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 62(1), 159–165.  
<https://doi.org/10.48165/IJEE.2026.621RT04>
- Pereira, G., Lepage, B., de Hoogh, K., Colindres Zuehlke, R., Guntoro, F., Neufcourt, L., Tang, D., Wada, R., Vermeulen, R., Kelly-Irving, M., Delpierre, C., Chadeau-Hyam, M., & Castagné, R. (2026). Education, Employment, Income, and Urban–Rural Differences as Drivers of Social Inequalities in Environmental Exposures: Evidence from the UK Biobank. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 60(4), 3326–3338.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5c08849>
- Pollino, M. A., Powell, E. A., & McCormick, M. L. (2025). Generative AI and the public-speaking course: A critical communication pedagogy approach. *Communication Teacher*, 39(1), 71–77.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17404622.2024.2396514>

- Rahayu, B. M., Muhyidin, A., & Jamaludin, U. (2026). Integrating local wisdom into digital teaching materials for next-generation competencies in future education: A systematic literature review. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, 9(8). <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2026366>
- Rahmawati, F. P., Pamungkas, O. Y., Nisa', C., Ngatmini, N., Pratiwi, D. R., & Herlinawati, H. (2026). Folklore as Pedagogy: Moral and Cultural Values in Southeast Asian Children's Literature. *International Journal of Society, Culture and Language*, 14(1), 194–207. <https://doi.org/10.22034/ijscsl.2025.2073479.4222>
- Ramdiah, S., Mayasari, R., Abidinsyah, Sukri, A., Putra, A. P., & Khery, Y. (2026). Sasirangan v'erse: A digital media innovation based on local wisdom for transforming biology education. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2026063>
- Rocmah, L. I., Haryanto, & Rohaman, A. (2026). Developing a collaborative BCCT nature-based approach to foster logical thinking and cooperation in early childhood education through local wisdom value. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 8(8). <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2026427>
- Rosyidah, F., Susantini, E., Yuliani, Y., & Nisa', K. (2025). Local Wisdom And Stem In Science Education To Support SDG-4: A Systematic Review. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 14(4), 654–666. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v14i4.34450>
- Samy, D. P. A. (2026). Digital literacy and post truth challenges in the rural academic ecosystem of Tamil Nadu. *Discover Education*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-01073-6>
- Sarwi, Fathonah, S., Winarto, Fatimah, S., Sari, E. F., & Fadilah, A. M. (2026). Ethnoscience and local wisdom in science education for conservation: A systematic review with bibliometric mapping. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, 9(7). <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2026298>
- Schäfer, G. (2026). International student mobility and future migration aspirations and capabilities – a comparison between postgraduate students in medicine and social sciences and humanities. *Comparative Migration Studies*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40878-026-00522-x>
- Serrano de la Cruz Santos-Olmo, M. A., Jerez García, Ó., & García-Rayego, J. L. (2026). Educational Tourism and Landscape in Protected Natural Areas: A Diagnostic Approach from Castilla-La Mancha (Spain). *Tourism and Hospitality*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/tourhosp7020045>

- Shevchenko, I. V. (2025). Curriculum Innovation and Design to Enhance Global Competence and Holistic Student Development. *Nusantara Education*, 4(2), 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.253>
- Ulla, M. B., Wacas, E. J., Eustaquio, W., & Wakat, G. S. (2025). Navigating linguistic diversity toward ELF-informed pedagogy: Filipino teachers' perceptions of their accent. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 22(2), 960–974. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14790718.2024.2342967>
- Wang, J., Li, W., & Wu, J. (2026). The coexistence of moral-educational values and neoliberal selves: Situating affect in the linguistic landscapes of a university as a branded space. *Linguistics and Education*, 92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2026.101503>
- Yusutria, Kistoro, H. C. A., Yuzarion, & Febriana, R. (2025). Local Wisdom and Islamic Pedagogy: Strengthening Character Education to Prevent Juvenile Delinquency in Indonesia. *African Journal of Religion, Philosophy and Culture*, 6(4), 295–316. <https://doi.org/10.31920/2634-7644/2025/v6n4a15>
- Zhou, L., Bonar, G., & Nie, P. (2026). Two educational models, one language outcome: Analysing the language practices of Liangshan Yi students through language maps and linguistic landscapes. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2026.2627425>
- Zhu, F., Chen, Z., Tao, W., Lu, Q., Lv, L., Tan, H., & Tao, Z. (2026). Multifaceted, multi-scale, and multi-task trend learning for denied check-in prediction on online travel platforms. *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 40(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10618-026-01185-z>