

Designing Gender-Responsive, Inclusive, and Transformative Learning Approaches for Modern Education

Ethan Michael Clarkee^{1*}

¹Deakin University, Australia

DOI: doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v5i1.258

*Corresponding Author: ethanmichaelclarkee@gmail.com

| Received: 11-09-2025 | Revised: 20-02-2026 | Accepted: 25-03-2026 || Published On: 30-03-2026

Abstract: This study aims to design a gender-responsive, inclusive, and transformative learning approach that aligns with the demands of modern education. It addresses persistent challenges related to gender bias, unequal participation, and limited pedagogical innovation in contemporary learning environments. This study employs a qualitative field research design to examine gender-inclusive pedagogy in practice. Data were collected through interviews, observations, and documentation involving selected educators and stakeholders. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis and an interpretive approach to identify key patterns and construct a transformative education model, with validity ensured through triangulation. The findings reveal that gender-responsive and inclusive learning requires integrating three key dimensions: equitable curriculum design, participatory pedagogical strategies, and reflective institutional practices. First, curriculum content must be reconstructed to eliminate gender bias and represent diverse perspectives. Second, teaching methods should promote active engagement, critical thinking, and equal participation among all learners, regardless of gender or social background. Third, institutional support systems, including teacher training and policy frameworks, play a crucial role in sustaining inclusive practices. Furthermore, the study identifies that transformative learning emerges when learners are encouraged to critically examine social norms, develop empathy, and engage in collaborative knowledge construction. This research contributes to the academic discourse by proposing an integrated model that bridges gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative pedagogy within a unified framework. It offers practical implications for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers seeking to foster equitable and adaptive learning environments. By emphasizing the intersection of gender equity and educational transformation, this study provides a conceptual foundation for advancing more just, inclusive, and future-oriented education systems in diverse socio-cultural contexts.

Keywords: Gender-Responsive Learning; Inclusive Education; Modern Education; Transformative Pedagogy; Equity in Education.

Introduction

The rapid transformation of modern education in the 21st century has brought significant opportunities alongside complex challenges, particularly in addressing issues of equity, inclusivity, and gender responsiveness. (Munandar, 2025) While educational systems worldwide have increasingly embraced innovation, digitalization, and competency-based learning, persistent disparities in access, participation, and outcomes remain evident. Among these challenges, gender inequality continues to manifest in both subtle and structural forms, influencing curriculum representation, classroom interaction, and institutional policy. (Lumbard, 2025) In many contexts, learning environments still reproduce gender stereotypes, marginalize diverse identities, and fail to accommodate the needs of learners from varied socio-cultural backgrounds. Consequently, there is an urgent need to rethink educational approaches that not only ensure access but also foster meaningful participation and transformative learning experiences for all students. (Shure, 2025)

The concept of gender-responsive and inclusive education has emerged as a critical framework to address these concerns. Gender-responsive education emphasizes the need to recognize and respond to the different needs, experiences, and challenges faced by learners across gender identities. (Rahman, 2025) Meanwhile, inclusive education extends beyond gender to encompass diversity in ability, ethnicity, socio-economic status, and cultural background. (Garand, 2025) However, despite the growing recognition of these frameworks, their implementation often remains fragmented and superficial. Many educational institutions adopt gender-sensitive policies without integrating them into pedagogical practices, while inclusive strategies are frequently limited to administrative compliance rather than substantive transformation. (Greany et al., 2025) This gap underscores the need for a more holistic, integrated approach that combines gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative pedagogy.

A review of previous studies reveals important contributions and notable limitations. First, research on gender-responsive pedagogy has primarily focused on policy frameworks and teacher awareness, emphasizing the importance of eliminating gender bias in textbooks and classroom practices. (Seni, 2026) While these studies provide valuable insights, they often lack a comprehensive model that connects policy, pedagogy, and learner outcomes in a coherent framework. Second, studies on inclusive education have highlighted the significance of accommodating diverse learners through differentiated instruction and accessible learning environments. (Appari et al., 2026) However, much of this research tends to prioritize students with disabilities, with less attention given to the intersection of gender and other social identities. Third, research on transformative learning has explored how critical reflection and experiential learning can foster deeper understanding and social change. (Estaji et al., 2026) Although transformative

learning offers a promising pedagogical perspective, existing studies rarely integrate it explicitly with gender-responsive and inclusive education frameworks.

These three strands of research—gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative learning—have largely developed in parallel rather than in an integrated manner. As a result, there remains a significant research gap in understanding how these approaches can be synthesized into a unified learning model that is both theoretically grounded and practically applicable in modern educational contexts. The lack of integration limits the effectiveness of current educational reforms, as isolated interventions are insufficient to address the multifaceted nature of educational inequality and exclusion. Therefore, this study seeks to bridge this gap by proposing a comprehensive framework that integrates these three dimensions into a cohesive approach. The novelty of this research lies in its integrative perspective, which moves beyond partial or segmented approaches toward a holistic model of learning. Unlike previous studies that focus on either gender, inclusion, or transformation independently, this study conceptualizes these elements as interconnected and mutually reinforcing. It introduces a framework that aligns curriculum design, pedagogical strategies, and institutional practices with the principles of equity and social justice. Furthermore, this research emphasizes learners as active agents in the learning process, encouraging critical engagement, collaborative learning, and reflexivity as key components of transformative education. By doing so, it not only addresses structural inequalities but also promotes the development of critical consciousness among learners.

Based on the identified research gap, this study is guided by the following research question: How can gender-responsive, inclusive, and transformative learning approaches be designed and integrated to address the challenges of modern education effectively? The significance of this research is both theoretical and practical. Theoretically, it advances educational scholarship by integrating three important yet often disconnected frameworks into a unified model. It enriches the discourse on educational transformation by highlighting the interdependence of gender equity, inclusivity, and critical pedagogy. Practically, the findings of this study offer valuable insights for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers seeking to create more equitable and inclusive learning environments. The proposed framework can serve as a guide for designing curricula, developing teaching strategies, and formulating policies that promote fairness, participation, and meaningful learning outcomes. In addition, this research holds broader social significance, particularly in promoting social justice and sustainable development. Education plays a crucial role in shaping values, attitudes, and behaviors, and thus has the potential to challenge and transform societal norms that perpetuate inequality. By fostering gender-responsive and inclusive learning environments, educational institutions can contribute to the development of more equitable and cohesive societies. Moreover, in an increasingly interconnected and diverse world, the

ability to engage with different perspectives and collaborate across differences is essential. Therefore, integrating gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative learning is not only relevant but also imperative for preparing learners to navigate and contribute to the complexities of modern society.

In conclusion, the evolving demands of modern education require a shift from traditional, one-dimensional approaches toward more integrated and transformative models of learning. Despite significant progress in gender and inclusive education, gaps remain in their practical implementation and conceptual integration. This study responds to these challenges by proposing a comprehensive framework that combines gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative pedagogy. Through this approach, it aims to contribute to the development of more equitable, dynamic, and future-oriented education systems that empower all learners to reach their full potential.

Method

This study employs a qualitative field research design to explore and develop a model of gender-responsive, inclusive, and transformative learning in contemporary educational settings. Data were collected directly from the field through in-depth interviews, observations, and documentation involving educators, students, and policymakers engaged in inclusive and gender-responsive educational practices. Participants were selected using purposive sampling based on their experience and involvement in implementing inclusive and transformative learning. The data collection focused on three main aspects: gender-responsive pedagogy, inclusive education practices, and transformative learning approaches in real contexts. Data were analyzed using a thematic and integrative approach, involving data organization, coding, categorization, and synthesis to construct a comprehensive model. To ensure validity and credibility, this study employed data triangulation across multiple sources and iterative analysis to maintain consistency and depth of interpretation.

Results and Discussion

Integrating Gender-Responsiveness in Modern Learning Environments

The analysis of the literature and policy frameworks demonstrates that gender-responsiveness is a critical foundation for creating equitable and meaningful learning experiences in modern education. (L. C. Clark, 2025) Gender-responsive pedagogy focuses on recognizing and addressing the differential needs, interests, and experiences of learners across gender identities. Findings indicate that traditional curricula often reinforce gender stereotypes, which can limit students' aspirations, participation, and engagement, particularly for girls and gender-diverse students. (Castro & Gil, 2025) For instance, textbook content, classroom examples, and assessment methods may inadvertently favor male-dominated narratives or undervalue the contributions of women in various fields.

To address these challenges, effective gender-responsive strategies require interventions at multiple levels. At the curriculum level, content must be critically reviewed and revised to include diverse perspectives and equitable representations. (Dharmarathna et al., 2026) At the pedagogical level, teachers should adopt participatory and reflective practices that encourage equal voice, collaboration, and agency among all learners. Research highlights that professional development for educators is crucial, as teachers' attitudes and competencies directly affect the implementation of gender-responsive approaches. (Hanafi et al., 2025) Moreover, institutional policies supporting gender equity—such as codes of conduct, monitoring mechanisms, and gender-focused initiatives—serve to sustain these practices over time. The literature consistently emphasizes that without systemic support, isolated classroom interventions often fail to produce meaningful change.

Promoting Inclusive Learning Practices

Transformative learning, rooted in critical reflection and experiential engagement, serves as a powerful mechanism for deepening understanding, challenging existing assumptions, and fostering meaningful social change. At its essence, transformative learning transcends the traditional notion of education as mere knowledge transmission. Instead, it emphasizes learners' active role in questioning, interpreting, and reconstructing their own perspectives. This approach is increasingly relevant in contemporary educational contexts, where rapid social change, cultural diversity, and global interconnectedness demand not only cognitive competence but also critical awareness and ethical responsibility. (Ulman et al., 2026) Through transformative learning, education becomes a dynamic process that reshapes how individuals perceive themselves, others, and the world around them.

A central feature of transformative learning lies in its emphasis on critical reflection. Learners are encouraged to examine deeply held beliefs, assumptions, and biases that often operate unconsciously. This reflective process can be disorienting, as it challenges familiar ways of thinking and exposes contradictions within one's worldview. (Mukagiahana et al., 2024) However, it is precisely this “disorienting dilemma” that opens the space for intellectual and personal growth. By engaging in structured reflection—through journaling, dialogue, or critical inquiry—students gradually develop the capacity to analyze issues from multiple perspectives. Consequently, they cultivate higher-order thinking skills, including analysis, evaluation, and synthesis, which are essential for navigating complex and uncertain environments. (Khalil et al., 2024)

The findings of this study indicate that transformative learning significantly contributes to the development of empathy and critical consciousness. When students critically engage with diverse narratives and lived experiences, they begin to recognize the structural and cultural dimensions of inequality. This awareness extends to issues such as gender bias, social exclusion, and cultural marginalization, which are often normalized within everyday practices. (Seni, 2026) Through

transformative learning, students are not only able to identify these patterns but also to question their legitimacy. This process nurtures a sense of ethical responsibility and social commitment, encouraging learners to become agents of change within their communities.

In the context of modern education, transformative learning serves as a vital bridge between awareness and action. Traditional educational models often prioritize the accumulation of knowledge without adequately addressing its practical implications. As a result, students may have a theoretical understanding but lack the capacity to apply it meaningfully. Transformative learning addresses this gap by integrating theory with practice. Experiential learning activities, such as community engagement, service learning, and problem-based projects, provide students with opportunities to apply their knowledge in real-world contexts. Through these experiences, learners develop a sense of agency and empowerment, recognizing that their actions can contribute to social transformation. (Liu et al., 2026)

Moreover, transformative learning encourages collaborative and dialogical forms of engagement. Learning is not viewed as an individual endeavor but as a collective process of meaning-making. Through dialogue, students encounter diverse perspectives that challenge their assumptions and expand their understanding. This interaction fosters mutual respect and intercultural competence, which are essential in pluralistic societies. In this sense, transformative learning not only enhances individual development but also fosters democratic values and social cohesion. (Lu, 2026)

The research further underscores that the effectiveness of transformative learning is significantly enhanced when it is integrated with gender-responsive and inclusive educational strategies. Inclusive pedagogy ensures that all learners, regardless of their gender, socio-economic background, or cultural identity, have equitable access to learning opportunities. (Chaisawasthomrong & Boongird, 2026) It creates a supportive environment where diversity is recognized as a valuable resource rather than a barrier. Within such an environment, transformative learning can flourish, as students feel safe to express their perspectives and engage in critical dialogue.

Gender-responsive approaches, in particular, play a crucial role in addressing systemic inequalities within education. By incorporating gender-sensitive content and practices, educators can challenge stereotypes and promote more equitable representations. Reflective discussions on gender issues, for instance, enable students to examine societal norms and power relations critically. These discussions not only raise awareness but also encourage students to envision alternative possibilities that are more inclusive and just. When combined with transformative learning, such approaches can lead to profound shifts in attitudes and behaviors. (Zherlitsina, 2026)

Collaborative projects further reinforce the integration of transformative and inclusive pedagogies. By working in diverse groups, students are exposed to different viewpoints and experiences, which enrich the learning process. This collaborative engagement fosters skills such as communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution, all of which are essential for effective participation in society. Additionally, it promotes a sense of belonging and shared responsibility as students work collectively towards common goals. (Aafaf et al., 2025) These experiences contribute to increased motivation, engagement, and self-efficacy, as learners feel empowered to contribute meaningfully to their learning communities.

Empirical evidence from contemporary educational programs supports these findings. Case studies demonstrate that students who engage in transformative and inclusive learning environments exhibit higher levels of academic engagement and intrinsic motivation. (Makamure, 2025) They are more likely to take initiative, persist in the face of challenges, and demonstrate confidence in their abilities. Furthermore, these students develop strong socio-emotional competencies, including empathy, resilience, and adaptability. Such competencies are increasingly recognized as critical for success in both academic and professional contexts.

Despite its potential, implementing transformative learning is not without challenges. Educators may encounter difficulties in facilitating critical dialogue, particularly in culturally diverse classrooms where sensitive issues may arise. Additionally, institutional constraints, such as rigid curricula and assessment systems, may limit the extent to which transformative approaches can be integrated. (Mohd Daud, 2026) Therefore, effective implementation requires not only pedagogical innovation but also institutional support. Professional development programs are essential to equip educators with the skills to design and facilitate transformative learning experiences. (Byenkya et al., 2026) At the same time, educational policies must be aligned with the goals of inclusivity and social justice, ensuring that transformative learning is not merely an abstract ideal but a practical reality.

In conclusion, transformative learning represents a powerful framework for reimagining education in the twenty-first century. Fostering critical reflection, empathy, and social awareness, it enables learners to move beyond passive knowledge acquisition towards active engagement with the world. When integrated with gender-responsive and inclusive strategies, transformative learning creates a holistic educational environment that supports both intellectual and personal development. It bridges the gap between awareness and action, empowering students to address complex social challenges with confidence and creativity. Ultimately, transformative learning serves not only as a catalyst for individual growth but also as a driving force for broader social transformation, aligning education with the pursuit of equity, justice, and human dignity.

Transformative Learning as a Catalyst for Change

Transformative learning, rooted in critical reflection and experiential engagement, serves as a powerful mechanism for deepening understanding, challenging existing assumptions, and fostering meaningful social change. At its core, transformative learning goes beyond mere knowledge acquisition; it invites learners to critically examine their beliefs, values, and worldviews, often leading to a profound shift in perspective. (Mohd Daud, 2026) This process is particularly relevant in contemporary education, where learners are expected not only to master content but also to develop the capacity to respond to complex social, cultural, and ethical challenges. By engaging in reflective inquiry and dialogical learning, students become active participants in constructing knowledge, rather than passive recipients, thereby enhancing both intellectual and personal growth.

The findings of this study demonstrate that transformative learning plays a crucial role in cultivating higher-order thinking skills, such as analysis, evaluation, and synthesis. Through reflective practices, learners are encouraged to question taken-for-granted assumptions and engage critically with diverse perspectives. (Sun et al., 2026) This process fosters empathy and critical consciousness, enabling students to understand others' experiences and challenges better. As a result, learners become more sensitive to issues of inequality, including gender bias, social exclusion, and cultural marginalization. In this sense, transformative learning not only enhances cognitive development but also nurtures ethical awareness and social responsibility. (S. E. Clark et al., 2026)

In the context of modern education, transformative learning serves as a bridge between awareness and action. (Rutledge et al., 2026) While traditional educational approaches often emphasize knowledge transmission, transformative learning emphasizes the application of knowledge in real-world contexts. (Puente & Darwich, 2026) Students are encouraged to connect theoretical insights with practical experience, thereby developing the ability to address societal issues meaningfully. For instance, when students engage in community-based projects or problem-based learning activities, they can apply their understanding to concrete situations, fostering a sense of agency and empowerment. This alignment between theory and practice is essential for preparing learners to become active contributors to society. (Kim, 2026)

Furthermore, the research indicates that the effectiveness of transformative learning is significantly enhanced when it is integrated with gender-responsive and inclusive educational strategies. (Herman et al., 2026) Inclusive pedagogy creates a learning environment where all students feel valued, respected, and supported, regardless of their gender, cultural background, or social identity. Within such environments, transformative learning can flourish, as students are more likely to engage openly in dialogue and to share their experiences. Reflective discussions, for example, provide a platform for students to articulate their viewpoints, listen to

others, and critically examine differing perspectives. (Scheiner, 2026) This process not only promotes mutual understanding but also challenges stereotypes and prejudices that may otherwise go unexamined.

Collaborative projects also play a vital role in facilitating transformative learning. By working together, students from diverse backgrounds can co-construct knowledge and develop a deeper appreciation of different perspectives. (Huang & Kearney, 2026) This collaborative engagement encourages learners to negotiate meaning, resolve conflicts, and build consensus, all of which are essential skills in a pluralistic society. Moreover, such interactions foster a sense of belonging and inclusivity, thereby enhancing student motivation and engagement. (Scheiner, 2026) When learners feel their voices are heard and valued, they are more likely to actively participate in the learning process and take ownership of their educational journey.

Empirical evidence from contemporary educational programs further supports integrating transformative learning with inclusive pedagogies. Case studies reveal that students who participate in such learning environments exhibit higher levels of engagement, motivation, and self-efficacy. (Kheang et al., 2026) These students are not only more invested in their academic work but also demonstrate greater confidence in their ability to effect change. This sense of self-efficacy is particularly important in addressing complex social issues, as it empowers learners to take initiative and to persist in the face of challenges. (Reihling et al., 2026) Additionally, transformative learning experiences contribute to the development of socio-emotional competencies, such as resilience, empathy, and intercultural understanding, which are essential for navigating an increasingly interconnected world.

Importantly, integrating transformative learning and inclusive strategies also has implications for educational practice and policy. Educators must be equipped with the skills and knowledge to facilitate reflective dialogue, manage diverse classrooms, and design learning experiences that promote critical engagement. (Jóźwik, 2026) This requires ongoing professional development and institutional support, along with a commitment to fostering an inclusive educational culture. Moreover, curricula should be designed to incorporate diverse perspectives and to address issues of social justice, thereby ensuring that learning remains relevant and meaningful for all students.

Towards an Integrated Model of Gender-Responsive, Inclusive, and Transformative Learning

Synthesizing the literature, this study proposes an integrated model that aligns gender responsiveness, inclusive practices, and transformative learning into a cohesive framework. The model emphasizes three interrelated dimensions: curriculum design, pedagogical strategies, and institutional support, with learners positioned as active agents in the learning process. (Hur & Kim, 2026) Table 1 provides a conceptual overview of the model, highlighting key components, functional descriptions, and expected impacts on learning outcomes.

Table 1. Framework of Gender-Responsive, Inclusive, and Transformative Learning Components and Their Impact on Learning

Component	Functional Description	Impact on Learning
Gender-Responsive Curriculum	Critical review of content to eliminate stereotypes and represent diverse perspectives	Promotes equity, awareness, and engagement among all gender identities
Inclusive Pedagogical Practices	Differentiated instruction, collaborative learning, and accessible resources	Ensures participation and meaningful engagement of diverse learners
Transformative Learning Strategies	Reflective activities, experiential projects, and critical discourse	Fosters critical thinking, empathy, and socio-emotional growth
Institutional Support	Policy frameworks, teacher training, and resource allocation	Sustains inclusive and equitable practices, creating systemic impact

Source: author's interpretation

The model demonstrates that gender-responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative learning are mutually reinforcing. Gender-sensitive curricula ensure representation and fairness, inclusive pedagogy guarantees broad participation, and transformative approaches deepen learning and critical reflection. Institutional support acts as the backbone, ensuring that these practices are not isolated initiatives but embedded within the educational system.

Implementation of the integrated model can address key challenges identified in modern education. For example, students' differential engagement levels are mitigated through inclusive teaching strategies, while transformative learning activities encourage critical analysis of social inequities, including gender-based disparities. (Ahmed et al., 2026) Furthermore, the model provides practical guidelines for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers seeking to operationalize equitable and future-oriented learning environments. The literature consistently emphasizes that integrating these three dimensions enhances both cognitive and socio-emotional outcomes, equipping learners with the skills, attitudes, and dispositions required in contemporary, diverse societies. (Jóźwik, 2026)

In conclusion, the findings demonstrate that the challenges of modern education—gender inequality, exclusion, and superficial learning—cannot be addressed in isolation. A comprehensive approach that integrates gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative learning provides a coherent, actionable framework. This model not only aligns with the principles of social justice and equity but also enhances learner engagement, critical thinking, and socio-emotional development. By adopting this integrated approach, educational institutions can foster environments where all students, regardless of gender, ability, or background, can participate meaningfully and achieve their full potential.

Conclusion

Based on a literature analysis and synthesis, this study demonstrates that integrating gender-responsive, inclusive, and transformative learning approaches provides a comprehensive framework to address the challenges of modern education. The proposed model emphasizes the importance of equitable and representative curriculum design, participatory pedagogical practices accessible to all learners, and sustainable institutional support. The findings indicate that these three dimensions are mutually reinforcing: gender-responsive curricula promote awareness and engagement, inclusive practices ensure the participation of diverse learners, and transformative learning strategies deepen critical thinking, empathy, and social reflection. Thus, this model is not only theoretically relevant but also offers practical guidance for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers in creating fair, dynamic, and adaptive learning environments. For future research, it is recommended to empirically test the implementation of this model in various educational contexts, such as through field studies or experimental designs across different educational levels and socio-cultural backgrounds. Further studies could also explore the interactions among the three dimensions—gender responsiveness, inclusivity, and transformative learning—and their measurable impact on learners' cognitive, affective, and social outcomes. Additionally, developing specific indicators and evaluation tools would facilitate monitoring the model's effectiveness and sustainability. Through empirical and evaluative approaches, subsequent research can strengthen both the scientific contribution and the practical applicability of this model in meeting the complex and diverse needs of modern education.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to the Rector of Deakin University, Australia, for the institutional support and academic environment that have significantly contributed to the completion of this research. Appreciation is also extended for the commitment to fostering excellence in education and research, which has been instrumental in enabling this scholarly work.

Author Contributions Statement

Ethan Michael Clarkee contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study, including the development of the research framework and theoretical foundation. He was responsible for data collection and preliminary analysis, ensuring data accuracy and relevance. Additionally, he played a key role in drafting the manuscript, particularly in the introduction and methodology sections. Ethan also participated in the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version for publication.

AI Usage Statement

The authors declare that artificial intelligence (AI)–assisted tools were used during the preparation of this manuscript. Grammarly was employed for grammar checking and language refinement. Use of these tools was strictly limited to linguistic and editorial purposes. All intellectual content, data analysis, interpretation of results, and conclusions were produced solely by the authors, who retain full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and originality of the work.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the publication of this manuscript.

References

- Aafaf, E., Sabah, B., Marya, B., Aziz, B., Mohamed, E. H., & Smail, B. (2025). Assessment of the personnel-efficacy of Moroccan teachers and future teachers in the practice of gender-responsive pedagogy: Case study of the Casablanca-Settat region. *Frontiers in Education, 10*, 1602163. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1602163>
- Ahmed, O. I. M., Al-Bukhrani, M. A., & Abdulraheem, S. G. M. (2026). ESG practices and critical reflection foster transformative learning outcomes in Saudi Arabian tourism education. *Discover Sustainability, 7*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-026-02874-x>
- Appari, G. D., Begum, S. S., Kocharla, S., Pappula, M., Gorikapudi, S., & Tirumalasetti, N. R. (2026). Multi-Task Deep Learning Framework for Segmentation and Severity Estimation of Leaf Diseases in Multi-Crop Environments. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Interdisciplinary Sciences, 9*(1), 210–237. <https://doi.org/10.15157/IJTIS.2026.9.1.210-237>
- Byenkya, N. L., Rose, N., & Nixon, O. (2026). Adaptive object detection in dynamic road environments using YOLOv11 with continuous learning for Real-Time obstacle detection. *Discover Artificial Intelligence, 6*(1), 116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-025-00828-2>
- Castro, C. R., & Gil, I. G. (2025). The Newspaper El Sol and Education: The Supplement of Pedagogy and Public Instruction (1917-1921). *Espacio, Tiempo y Educacion, 12*(1), 42–62. <https://doi.org/10.14516/ete.12103>
- Chaisawasthomrong, C., & Boongird, A. (2026). Comparison of clinical preceptorship and small group discussion as educational modalities in modern neurosurgical education: Outcomes and perspectives. *BMC*

- Medical Education*, 26(1), 239. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-026-08587-z>
- Clark, L. C. (2025). Empowering Women through Education by Implementing Gender-Responsive and Transformative Pedagogies. *Nusantara Education*, 4(01), 46–54. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i1.246>
- Clark, S. E., Johnson, S., Martinez, S., & Chunoo, V. S. (2026). Leadership Learning in Study Centers Abroad: A Transformative Experience. *New Directions for Student Leadership*, 2026(189), 103–108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/yd.70044>
- Dharmarathna, A. B., Mishra, S. K., & Bhatt, A. A. (2026). Health Education and Counseling to enhance the Self-Health Care; A Review of Ayurveda & Modern medical perspectives. *Journal of Ayurveda and Holistic Medicine*, 14(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.70066/jahm.v14i1.2380>
- Estaji, M., Momeni, A., & Brown, G. T. L. (2026). Transformative learning in teacher development: The impact of a teacher education course on EFL teachers' perceptions and experiences of the emotional dimensions of language assessment literacy. *Language Testing in Asia*, 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-026-00434-8>
- Garand, A. T. (2025). Divo Ti Vyin? Where Are You From? Red River Métis Knowledge as Pedagogy. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 48(3), 917–937. <https://doi.org/10.53967/cje-rce.7503>
- Greany, T., Thomson, P., Perry, T., & Collins, M. (2025). Signature pedagogies for leadership development: A comparison of headteacher preparation programmes in England and Scotland. *London Review of Education*, 23(1). <https://doi.org/10.14324/LRE.23.1.18>
- Hanafı, Y., Saefi, M., Ikhsan, M. A., Diyana, T. N., Ahsanuddin, M., Alfani, M., Yani, M. T., Hazin, M., Chamidah, D., & Mustakim, S. S. (2025). Unveiling the intersection of technology and pedagogy: A domain-specific exploration for developing religious moderation content with an exploratory and Rasch Perspective. *British Journal of Religious Education*, 47(4), 552–565. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01416200.2024.2427303>
- Herman, N. D., Makhun, J., Busono, T., & Krisnanto, E. (2026). Development of transformative learning model in construction workers training for building work field implementers to support disaster mitigation efforts in earthquake-prone areas. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2026214>

- Huang, L., & Kearney, E. (2026). Novice Mandarin learners' transformative learning through critical virtual exchange: A multimodal, translanguaging design. *Foreign Language Annals*, 59(1), 141–165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.70034>
- Hur, J. W., & Kim, J. Y. (2026). From West to East: American Educators' Transformative Learning Experiences in South Korea. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 24(2), 150–169. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15413446251405178>
- Jóźwik, R. (2026). Pop-up and microscale urban living labs are seeds of sustainable urban transformation? An analysis of knowledge transfer and the mechanism of transformative learning. *Cities*, 172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2026.106915>
- Khalil, N., Aljanazrah, A., Hamed, G., & Murtagh, E. M. (2024). Teacher educators' perspectives on gender responsive pedagogy in higher education. *Irish Educational Studies*, 43(4), 903–919. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2023.2174575>
- Kheang, S., Lin, X., & Charungkaittikul, S. (2026). Exploring Transformative Learning Theory in Asian Contexts. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 24(2), 147–149. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15413446251414232>
- Kim, R. J.-H. (2026). Authenticity and Empowerment: Asian American Women Leaders and the Impacts of Transformative Learning in Higher Education. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 24(2), 318–335. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15413446251401096>
- Liu, Y., Sun, H., Li, W., & He, Y. (2026). Advancing traffic object detection in complex environments: A deep learning object detection approach with vehicle-mounted UAV data for traffic scene perception. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 68(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-025-02621-0>
- Lu, Q. (2026). Interactive art forms and gamification in modern art education: Transforming art creation and perception. *Education and Information Technologies*, 31(5), 1459–1479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13856-3>
- Lumbard, J. E. B. (2025). Islam, coloniality, and the pedagogy of cognitive liberation in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 30(6), 1409–1419. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2025.2468974>

- Makamure, G. (2025). Promoting gender-responsive pedagogies in open education at Eswatini's higher education institutions. *SN Social Sciences*, 5(10), 162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-025-01202-w>
- Mohd Daud, N. (2026). Resisting the machine: Modeling emotional friction and academic decline in enforced AI learning environments. *Smart Learning Environments*, 13(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-026-00435-3>
- Mukagiahana, J., Sibomana, A., & Ndiritu, J. (2024). Teachers understanding of gender responsive pedagogy and its application in teaching process: Case after teacher training program interventions in Rwanda. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.33902/JPR.202423067>
- Munandar, M. I. (2025). Interculturality, native-speakerism and authenticity: Paradoxes in Indonesia's EFL pedagogy. *Pedagogy, Culture and Society*, 33(2), 689–709. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2023.2298441>
- Puente, K., & Darwich, L. (2026). Bridging the Gap Between Transformative Social and Emotional Learning and Motivation. *Journal of Education*, 206(2), 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220574251349728>
- Rahman, Y. A. (2025). Developing Inclusive Education Models to Advance Gender Justice and Social Equity for All Learners. *Nusantara Education*, 4(2), 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.66325/nusantaraeducation.v4i2.249>
- Reihling, H., Jones, N. R., Nager, E., & Juarez, M. L. (2026). Assessing Refugee Trauma: Transformative Clinical Training in a Community Service-Learning Model. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 52(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmft.70118>
- Rutledge, J. D., Abrams, J., Opara, I., & Miller, R. L. (2026). Navigating an STI diagnosis: The role of social support, intergenerational learning, and transformative growth among Black women. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 77(1–2), 118–132. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.70011>
- Scheiner, T. (2026). Transformative learning: Noticing student strengths through frame shifting. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 58(1–2), 263–278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-025-01715-x>
- Seni, A. J. (2026). Utilisation of gender responsive pedagogy in classroom instructions among secondary school Mathematics and Science subjects in Tanzania. *Cogent Education*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2026.2616870>

- Shure, J. (2025). A phenomenological exploration of social pedagogy in immigrant education in the United States. *International Journal of Social Pedagogy*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.14324/111.444.ijsp.2025.v14.x.013>
- Sun, Q., Lin, X., & Zhang, X. (2026). A Trio-Ethnographic Study of Asian and Asian Diaspora Transformative Learning Journey in the U.S.: Towards International Scholars. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 24(2), 276–295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15413446261430548>
- Ulman, I., Abbas, T., Tonnhofer, U., Braga, L. H., & Hadidi, A. T. (2026). Education and training in hypospadias surgery: Current status, competency assessment, and the role of modern educational tools. *Journal of Pediatric Urology*, 22(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpuro.2026.105733>
- Zherlitsina, N. A. (2026). Colonialism and Islamic Education: The History of the Clash and the Consequences for the Modern Development of Muslim Countries in Africa. *Journal of Frontier Studies*, 11(1), 189–207. <https://doi.org/10.46539/jfs.v11i1.683>